
NEWS
'There is no climate emergency' - 1,100 scientists declare




PAGE 3

SOLUTIONS
Live free and equal - a hybrid democracy solution




PAGE 13

INTERNATIONAL
French farmer wins case over phone tower



PAGE 17

HEALTH
Contagion and infection - what's really going on



PAGE 20

THE LIGHT

Issue 25 - Monthly

The Uncensored Truth

thelightpaper.co.uk

PEOPLE-FUNDED PAPER




Britain's hidden history

London's pants on fire - Great Fire was an inside job, page 9

Beware the future

Digital weapons for a silent war



> > > > > See Centre Pages < < < < <

THE LIGHT PAPER SEPT 2022

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2022 **thelightpaper.co.uk**

For all distribution and pre-order enquiries, please email: **lightdistribution@mailbox.org**

For advertising enquiries, please contact Nicola at:
ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

For all subscription enquiries, please contact:
subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk


thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith
Sub-editor: Harry Wundas
Layout: Miki Kay
Distribution: Cath Swann & Matt Smith
Advertising: Nicola Kelly
Subscriptions: Ross & Rebecca Pename
Social Media: Jessica Paris, Milou & Nicola Kelly
Proofreading: Tracy S, David K, Alan I, Stevie M, Jerry R, Adrian L

Special thanks to our warehouse hubs & distributors who work tirelessly to bring you the uncensored news. To keep our paper FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies, donating and subscribing at: ***thelightpaper.co.uk***


"All warfare is based on deception."
— Sun Tzu, Art of War

Percentage of excess deaths in Males and Females up to Week 31, 2022 compared with 5-year average, 45-64 year-olds



Number of Ambulance call-outs for conditions / illnesses relating to the Heart among people under the age of 30 by month & year

Source: NHS West Midlands Ambulance Service FOI Request




Bob Moran is our best political cartoonist, and it is a privilege to be able to feature his brilliant work via the Democracy Fund of Canada, who pay him to make art which we can then use.

There is a new film about him and his art available to view online free at: https://www.bobmoran.co.uk/brilliantly-difficult-film

Thank you, Bob.

https://bobmoran.co.uk









1,100 eminent Scientists declare: 'There is NO climate emergency'

by **CLINTEL GROUP**



Photo: Noaa

Climate policy must respect scientific and economic realities. There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm. We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic net-zero CO^2 policy proposed for 2050

CLIMATE science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. In particular, scientists should emphasise that their modelling output is not the result of magic: computer models are human-made.

What comes out is fully dependent on what theoreticians and programmers have put in: hypotheses, assumptions, relationships, parameterisations, stability constraints, etc. Unfortunately, in mainstream climate science, most of this input is undeclared.

To believe the outcome of a climate model is to believe what the model makers have put in. This is precisely the problem of today's climate discussion to which climate models are central. Climate science has degenerated into a discussion based on beliefs, not on sound, self-critical science. We should free ourselves from the naïve belief in immature climate models. In future, climate research must give significantly more emphasis to empirical science.

There is no climate emergency

A worldwide network of over 1,100 scientists and professionals has prepared this urgent message. Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures.

Natural as well as anthropogenic factors cause warming

The geological archive reveals that Earth's climate has varied as long as it has existed, with natural cold and warm phases. The Little Ice Age ended as recently as 1850. Therefore, it is no surprise that we now are experiencing a period of warming.

Warming is far slower than predicted

The world has warmed significantly less than predicted by IPCC on the basis of modelled anthropogenic forcing. The gap between the real world and the modelled world tells us that we are far from understanding climate change.

Climate policy relies on inadequate models

Climate models have many shortcomings and are not remotely plausible as useful policy tools. They blow up the effect of greenhouse gases such as CO^2. In addition, they ignore the fact that enriching the atmosphere with CO^2 is beneficial.

CO^2 is plant food, the basis of all life on Earth

CO^2 is not a pollutant. It is essential to all life on Earth. Photosynthesis is a blessing. More CO^2 is beneficial for nature, greening the Earth: additional CO^2 in the air has promoted growth in Earth's plant biomass. It is also good for agriculture, increasing the yields of crops worldwide.

Global warming has not increased natural disasters

There is no statistical evidence that global warming is intensifying hurricanes, floods, droughts and suchlike natural disasters, or making them more frequent. However, there is ample evidence that CO^2-mitigation measures are as damaging as they are costly.

Climate policy must respect scientific and economic realities

There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm. We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic net-zero CO^2 policy proposed for 2050. If better approaches emerge, and they certainly will, we have ample time to reflect and re-adapt. The aim of any policy should be 'prosperity for all', by providing reliable and affordable energy at all times. In a prosperous society, men and women are well educated, birthrates are low and people care about their environment.

The World Climate Declaration (WCD) has brought a large variety of competent scientists together from all over the world*. The considerable knowledge and experience of this group is indispensable in reaching a balanced, dispassionate and competent view of climate change.

From now onward the group is going to function as "Global Climate Intelligence Group". The CLINTEL Group will give solicited and unsolicited advice on climate change and energy transition to governments and companies worldwide.

** It is not the number of experts but the quality of arguments that counts.*

World Climate Declaration Ambassadors

Nobel Laureate Professor Ivar Giaever, Norway/USA
Professor Guus Berkhout, The Netherlands
Dr. Cornelis Le Pair, The Netherlands
Professor Reynald Du Berger, Canada
Barry Brill, New Zealand
Viv Forbes, Australia
Professor Jeffrey Foss, Canada
Jens Morten Hansen, Denmark
Professor László Szarka, Hungary
Professor Seok Soon Park, South Korea
Professor Jan-Erik Solheim, Norway
Sotiris Kamenopoulos, Greece
Ferdinand Meeus, Belgium
Professor Richard Lindzen, USA
Henri A. Masson, Belgium
Professor Ingemar Nordin, Sweden
Jim O'Brie, Republic Of Ireland
Professor Ian Plimer, Australia
Douglas Pollock, Chile
Dr. Blanca Parga Landa, Spain
Professor Alberto Prestininzi, Italy
Professor Benoît Rittaud, France
Dr. Thiago Maia, Brazil
Professor Fritz Vahrenholt, Germany
The Viscount Monckton Of Brenchley, United Kingdom
Dušan Bižić, Croatia, Bosnia And Herzegovina, Serbia And Monte Negro

How data capitalism makes YOU the product

by **ANDY THOMAS**

Big Tech's goal is to automate human beings

Until the late 1970s, computers were large and expensive contraptions and, as such, they were the preserve of governments, universities and corporations.

Computers were often referred to as mainframes, a term derived from the fact that they were housed in large metal cabinets. A single mainframe would typically be used by many people via dumb-terminals from within the same building.

By the 1980s, however, the PC had arrived on the scene. The significance of the word is lost now, but it was revolutionary at the time because "PC" once stood for "personal computer". This meant that it wasn't shared with others, but was yours and yours alone.

Unlike the 80s, the computing devices we use today are not really ours any more - even though we are the ones who pay for them. For example, the continuous software updates common in modern devices facilitate a backdoor allowing any change to be made or restriction imposed, at any time, without our knowledge, consent or control.

In reality, our smartphones and laptops are tools used to monitor what should be our private communications and interactions. Android phones, as a case in point, typically upload between 4.4 and 13.6 MB of tracking data to Google servers every day.

Where people once used terminals to access a central mainframe, modern computing devices are now the terminals used to access people.

How on earth did things come to this?

If there is one thing that computers do well, it is automation. Although it was once widely held that the internet would engender freedom, with the benefit of hindsight it should be no surprise that the large-scale automation it actually facilitates lends itself to the economies of vast scale, rather than those of small or medium sized enterprises.

As a result, the traditional model of capitalism, in which companies compete for paying customers in a free market, is breaking down. In its place has arisen surveillance capitalism - a phoney capitalism in which the product may ostensibly be free, but behavioural data is harvested from its users in order to exploit them in other domains.

Such data is not only be used for the targeting of ads, but also in highly manipulative ways through the use of subliminal cues and nudges, and rewards and punishments in order to nudge people into profitable behaviours.

> **Where people once used terminals to access a central mainframe, modern computing devices are now the terminals used to access people**

In order to succeed, this must happen below the level of everyday awareness.

Indeed, it has succeeded and beyond the dreams of avarice. We can see now the inspiration behind so called 'Smart Cities' and the UK government's 'Nudge Unit'.

While a cost-benefit analysis may be out of scope here, it is far from clear that the internet has universally improved the quality of human existence. Rather, it now threatens us with a new kind of tyranny - one which aims to subject every aspect of human existence to automated surveillance. We need only look to the Chinese Social Credit System to see what such a future would look like.




Photo: Luke Chesser

It may be a tad depressing to realise that the primary motivation behind the worldwide rollout of 5G is not to simply provide everyone with fast internet on the go, but to facilitate the so-called 'Internet of Things', in which even the most trivial of devices will be online and under AI control.

History, however, is full of upheavals in which all that once seemed so certain is uprooted in short order. The sudden and very unexpected collapse of the Soviet Union is a case in point.

I'm not about to suggest that the internet will suddenly cease to exist, and that we will somehow be transported back to the 1980s. What I am suggesting is that the business model of surveillance capitalism - which has succeeded thus far by quietly looting everyone - is unsustainable long-term.

In her seminal work, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*, Professor Shoshana Zuboff writes that Google - the first company to exploit human behavioural data - understood that users would never accept the unilateral claiming of their raw human experience in exchange for being able to use free online apps. Therefore, it was necessary for Google's services to act as a one-way mirror in order to keep people from realising the true nature of what was being taken from them.

It is the compulsion of every technology company, however, to automate the very thing at the heart of their value generation activity, and it is this which will ultimately kill the goose which lays their golden egg.

"It is no longer enough to automate information flows about us; the goal now is to automate us." - Shoshana Zuboff.

In the end, the people will own nothing and there will be nothing left for surveillance capitalism to plunder.

As we now belatedly wake up to surveillance capitalism, and begin to see it for what it really is, we must reject it and reclaim our sovereignty. Moreover, we must reject the 'everything free' model as being too good to be true, and expect to conduct honest transactions in exchange for things of real value, without surveillance and manipulation.

Computers and smartphones won't be going away, but we can reimagine the personal computer for the 21st century as a privacy-centric device under the control of individual human beings, rather than a big tech singularity.

De-Googled phones, for example, running alternatives to Android and iOS are now available and demand for them is starting to grow. For laptops and desktops, Linux represents a perfectly viable operating system for everyone - even for the most non-technical of users.

The real game changer, however, may be the widespread adoption of open source software such as NextCloud and Mastadon. These are 'back-end' applications which allow individuals, groups and small businesses to host their own private clouds and social media networks.

In the future, we shall have no need for surveillance-based services.

- ***Andy Thomas is a programmer, software author and writer, living in the north of England. He is interested in the philosophical implications of science, the nature of nature, and the things in life which hold value.***
- ***https://kuiperzone.substack.com and you can email him here: andyt@kuiper.zone***

Truth is treason in an empire of lies

by **DARREN SMITH**

THE rulers have built a world of fiction and fantasy, and want to make anyone pointing this out a criminal.

No one is allowed to pierce the narrative of the show, even such an often badly-made one, because pointing it out ends the façade, like the classic story of the Emperor's New Clothes.

This is the ultimate expression of totalitarian control – over people's thoughts, ideas, concepts and in the end, our minds.

In Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, The Party wanted to eliminate any potential for rebellion by making it literally unthinkable. Not only are those who really rule via the criminal banking system actually attempting this, with laws which will outlaw speech critical of government and corporate policy, but they use as many divide-and-rule topics as possible to drive a wedge between people on their emotive subjects, while we all should be punching upwards at the real causes of division, injustice and vast inequality across the world.

On our two-year birthday, we are proud that *The Light* paper is still a shining beacon of truth in this empire of darkness, deception and division, and that we poke holes in the fabricated stories and distorted versions of reality presented to the general public en masse through what is now a unified media propaganda machine. Not a single one of the newspapers or broadcast news outlets have questioned in any depth what the government, and those giving them their orders, are doing to the population. All dissenting voices are censored regardless of qualification (*The Light* 17, front page).

Today, this has accelerated to a point where people's minds have been taken and they have been all but turned into nodding robot dogs. It is almost as if politicians have never lied; media have never covered up the truth; and corporations have never polluted, harmed or defrauded anybody before.

It is almost as if politicians have never lied; media have never covered up the truth; and corporations have never polluted, harmed or defrauded anybody before

How the population willingly accepts a trial injection of an unknown substance by a corporation that received the biggest fine for negligence in U.S. history, $2.3 billion, is a thing of psychologically-controlling awe. People are goldfished into trusting what comes out of the screen as authoritative information, even though it constantly contradicts previous advice, and is provably nothing but behavioural psychological manipulation, in order to get people to think and behave exactly as they want.

It should not be hard to imagine the end result of this lemming-like, collectivist herd mentality, if people continue to give their rights and thoughts over to a clearly criminal ruling cabal. Nietzsche's famous quote is apt here: "Madness is rare in individuals - but in groups, parties, nations, and ages, it is the rule."

For those in power, it is crucial to maintain the façade at all costs, and to this end, vast armies of online and offline agents are employed by both the state using your taxes, and corporations using your spending, with instructions to attack and smear everyone and anything daring to go against the prevailing narrative; who ask questions; and who refuse to comply.

This is usually by gross exaggeration, misrepresentation and false association - painting us as being on the 'wrong' side of as many 'debates' as possible - the black or white logical fallacy that George Bush Jr. used so well: "You're either with us, or you're with the terrorists" - as they bombed countries to smithereens.

Therefore, despite all being ordinary people of diverse backgrounds, different ages and of different geography, *The Light* will often be tainted as right-wing Nazi extremist, homophobic, antisemitic, islamophobic, misogynistic climate deniers – the same names called to most who try to expose some or other aspect of the fantasy world created through the ubiquitous and incessant information and nudges transmitted through screens of various sizes directly into people's minds.

The strength of the grip they have over people's psyches is evidenced by people taking the politicians' and media's word over their own close friends' and family's, and even their own research and thoughts.

Those in power have everything to gain by maintaining their positions and lying through their teeth to people. However, the people who create and distribute *The Light* have nothing to gain, except the satisfaction of sharing truth.

Almost everyone involved is voluntary, and our only aim is to alert enough people as to what the rulers' plans are, and therefore stop them: once enough people know, the game is over. How long would all those CCTV surveillance cameras, smart streetlights, and other digital prison infrastructure last once the football fans figure it out?

Of course, we can be bundled up and dismissed in one fell swoop as a 'conspiracy theory' paper, the ultimate easy trope of those who *actually* conspire to defraud and control the world. The point about most 'theories' on what the government and corporations are doing is that they are more often than not correct, so as a news organisation it is a badge of honour to be called correct on our reporting and opinions.

The truth is elusive, and seemingly quite dangerous these days. We have no doubts that malicious and fallacious attacks on this paper and others shining light will continue, by those whose job it is to protect the rulers and help them achieve their ultimate aims of total control of mankind. We stand firm in the truth we print.

- ***https://thelightpaper.co.uk/archive***

Help fight against the sexualisation of children



by **JOAN GINSBERG**

Driving a wedge between parents and children

For more than a century, a very reprehensible and sinister practice took place in Welsh schools in the guise of the 'Welsh Not'.

This Welsh Not was a token used by teachers at some schools in the 19th century to discourage children from speaking Welsh in class, by marking out those who were heard speaking the language.

Its aim was to demote the Welsh language, thereby stealing a deeply rooted cultural identity. The 19th century was still a time of people who only spoke Welsh in many areas, and this language was the mother-tongue of the majority.

One observation in a governmental report into Welsh education and the use of the language stated: "The Welsh language is a vast drawback to Wales and a manifold barrier to the moral progress and commercial prosperity of the people. It is not easy to over-estimate its evil effect."

Another report stated: "Teach English and bigotry will be banished." So, without any mandating from the government, the practice of hanging a 'Welsh Not' wooden plaque around the neck of any unfortunate child who inadvertently used their first language in the classroom was encouraged by educators and parents alike.

The Welsh both administered and drank the medicine which would lead to the depletion of our culture and identity, in the name of helping future generations. And children were incentivised to police one another, in order to not be the last child wearing the wooden board and to avoid the punishment that this incurred

As we all know, history can and does repeat itself. The introduction of sexuality education in schools has pronounced parallels with the Welsh Not. It is heralded as being an enlightening and inclusive education. One that inculcates kindness and sensitivity, and which protects whilst lifting shame from the area of sexuality. One which banishes bigotry and nurtures respect. Just like the Welsh Not, its aim is to create a paradigm shift.

However this time, the assault is not so much on linguistic and cultural identity, but rather on innocence and gender integrity. Children now police each other by using the word "transphobic" as a slur. In the 19th century, the acquisition of a foreign language belonging to a master race would have driven a cultural wedge between children and parents. Today, the same will apply to the questionable concepts within sexuality education emanating from the United Nations and the World Health Organisation. They will distance a child from the values of the family and the harms are already apparent.

Some people in Wales have sniffed the wind and have mobilised to create a powerful movement for change. They have overcome the odds and achieved a judicial review to facilitate a court case against the Welsh government over the introduction of this curriculum into Welsh schools. Join us in our fight.

- ***https://publicchildprotectionwales.org***

Medical surveillance net is closing around us

Demand answers and create change within the NHS

by **ALISON HARVEY**

YOU may remember an article from the *Byline Times* in May 2021 entitled: *The Government wants to sell your GP medical records, here's how to opt-out, by Phil Booth.*

And you may have opted out by signing forms both with the GP and the NHS. The public outcry led to the project being delayed, a move apparently welcomed by the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO).

Close call. But has our data been quietly stolen behind the scenes?

Around the time that covid-19 was no longer deemed to be a High Consequence Infectious Disease (HCID) on 19 March 2020, the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) quietly issued a Control of Patient Information (COPI) notice. It read: "This notice is necessary so that NHS Digital can lawfully and efficiently disseminate confidential patient information… for the purposes of the health service or other persons employed or engaged by a government department or other public authority in communicable disease surveillance in connection with the health and social care system's management of the response to covid-19."

These notices remain in effect to this day. A Notice issued under a Regulation cannot legally remove our rights under the Data Protection Act 2018, or remove our lawful right to confidentiality. They used covid-19 to justify it, but, according to the public record, that pretext did not exist.

The British Medical Association (BMA) confirms that "GP practices are data controllers for the data they hold about their patients". I asked two practices if any of my data had been shared with NHS Digital or other third party. I received assurances that it had not. I asked for assurance that my opt-out form had been received, and that they needed nothing else from me to ensure no data left the practice or could be accessed by NHS Digital or other third party. I received such assurance. I requested my full medical record. Imagine my surprise when the following note appeared every month or so over the past two years: "Additional SCR dataset uploaded under COPI regulations. Summary Care Record [SCR] Update sent as part of a Central Bulk Upload. The patient's preference is for only core items to be included in their Summary Care Record. This has been overridden so that additional items will be included in this patient's Summary Care Report."

I asked for an explanation of what this included and what the legal justification was. The answers varied from its being about sharing prescriptions with the pharmacist (my prescriptions have been electronic for many years), to routine blood tests, to a statement that my SCR had been automatically shared as they had no record of an SCR opt-out form, to no explanation is available as they referred it to the Medical Protection Society. I also received an assertion that covid-19 has been considered an HCID from March 2020 to 2022 and therefore they did what the COPI Notice instructed.

The SCR you see online has a note stating: "This view of your record is not your actual Summary Care Record". How can it be right that we are the ones unable to access our own medical data? The real SCR dataset is comprehensive, including potentially 3,000+ data items describing our full medical history.

Additional Information includes:

- significant medical history
- reason for medication
- anticipatory care information (such as information about the management of long-term conditions)
- end-of-life care information
- immunisations

Just the data you would need for a covid passport, perhaps?



Photo: Chris Yang

My record also shows "Covid Patient Screening". I have not seen a GP during this time, so I asked what this entailed and how it was done. They were unable to answer.

I asked about several entries saying: "SystemOne Outgoing Record Sharing consent changed to Not Asked – Record Shared". The response was, 'Record Shared' means the record was not shared.

It would seem opt-outs and patient preferences count for nothing, despite public assurances to the contrary.

How can NHS Digital have all adult data if GPs told me they haven't shared any?

Where might they have got this information? Helpfully the NHS tells us that the General Practice Extraction Service (GPES) provides two of the seven sources for Databricks.

Is this where our SCR data has gone? This data is enabling AI to make judgements about who should be jabbed.

On July 1, 2022, the COPI Notices were again extended. They are seeking an "alternative, sustainable legal basis" on which to permanently destroy our fundamental right to medical privacy. The Information Commissioner's Office, it appears, is helping NHS Digital by recommending that it agrees a National Data Sharing Agreement for GP Connect, so that they can continue to benefit from this sharing of our most precious data once the COPI Notice expires.

It will then no longer need to be referred to in the GPs' or NHS Privacy Notices, and patients will be none the wiser. Doesn't this give the ICO a potential conflict of interest when investigating data complaints about GPs, NHS Digital, GP Connect and COPI Notices?

I find it unforgivable that GPs and their Data Protection Officers are unaware of the status of covid-19, given many of us mere members of the public have known this since March 2020. They appear to have taken no legal advice and simply followed orders, despite being legally accountable for protecting our data. The covid-19 status surely also begs the question as to whether any of the other covid measures were lawful or legal.

I find the NHS long-term plan terrifying, and so I hope I have inspired you to ask your own questions. Perhaps lawyers and journalists will also investigate?

The more of us who demand answers, the more unlikely it is that they will succeed. We have more power than we know, when we act together.

Ultimately, we need a system which respects the fact that this is OUR data, and we should be the ones who decide who we can trust with it.

References

1. https://ico.org.uk/about-the-ico/media-centre/news-and-blogs/2021/06/elizabeth-denham-welcomes-a-delay-to-the-launch-of-the-gpdpr/
2. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/high-consequence-infectious-diseases-hcid
3. https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/coronavirus-covid-19-response-information-governance-hub/control-of-patient-information-copi-notice
4. https://www.bma.org.uk/media/1827/bma-gps-as-data-controllers-under-gdpr-november-2019.pdf
5. https://digital.nhs.uk/binaries/content/assets/website-assets/services/summary-care-record/scr-inclusion-final.xlsx
6. https://digital.nhs.uk/services/summary-care-records-scr/additional-information-in-scr
7. https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/coronavirus-covid-19-response-information-governance-hub/control-of-patient-information-copi-notice/national-data-sharing-gp-connect-and-scra
8. https://www.bjss.com/our-work/department-of-health-and-social-care-protecting-the-vulnerable
9. https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/risk-assessment/population
10. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-notification-to-organisations-to-share-information/coronavirus-covid-19-notice-under-regulation-34-of-the-health-service-control-of-patient-information-regulations-2002
11. https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/coronavirus-covid-19-response-information-governance-hub/control-of-patient-information-copi-notice/national-data-sharing-gp-connect-and-scra
12. https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/

Ditch the enemy in your pocket

by **HARRY HOPKINS**


Photo: Tara Winstead

Smartphones a stepping stone to humanity's slavery

ALL of us are well aware of the central role that personal mobile devices now play in people's lives.

Haven't we all looked in wonder at the folk walking along the street gazing at the screen in their hand, completely oblivious as to what is going on around them? Aside from the physical danger that this disconnection with reality causes, it is a cast-iron indication that the person concerned has surrendered their inner life to something that has captured their attention in the virtual world.

It is as if a spell has been cast, leading that person from one distraction to another. Can this be a good thing? Can the random capture of a person's thought processes ever be healthy?

How many times have you seen people on public transport, waiting at bus stops, sitting together in groups in the pub, cafe or restaurant with their eyes fixed down to their screen? Groups of people who come together supposedly for friendship and the joys of intimate conversation retreating into the virtual world contained in their personal tracking gadget.

There can be no doubt about it, Silicon Valley engineers have designed, and are constantly redesigning, applications that are as addictive as gambling, alcohol and drugs. When something cannot be done without, and the loss of which is compared to a bereavement, as the loss of a smartphone is to many people today, it is an addiction.

All of this is intentional of course. If there were those who would seek to control every one of us and, indeed, every aspect of our individual lives, how would they go about it?

They would seek to make us totally dependent on their highly developed technology, so that any kind of acceptable and worthwhile life would be impossible without it. Are these this the ravings of a modern-day Luddite? Well, I love technology: I have a computer and an iPad, but I have an old-fashioned mobile phone, the kind whereby you talk to people and send the occasional text.

I firmly believe that 'smart technologies' - and by this I mean not just phones, but anything with the word 'smart' in its description - are being pushed not for our benefit, but because they are all part of the Big Brother society that we are being herded towards

I use technology as a tool when it suits me. I'm like the person who drinks occasionally, but knows that overindulgence might lead me down the slippery slope to hell. I firmly believe that 'smart technologies' - and by this I mean not just phones but anything with the word 'smart' in its description - are being pushed not for our benefit, but because they are all part of the Big Brother society that we are being herded towards. It is a vision of a totalitarian state that is a danger to us all.

Consider this: The loss of bank branches nationwide has been going on for years now and is gathering pace. The push for 'apps' to pay for everything rather than use cash is all around us.

Supermarkets are champing at the bit to accept card/phone app payments only. Public transport, public car parks and public conveniences are moving to app payments only. And right now some shops will not accept cash at all (boycott those immediately).

What are the repercussions of this rush to a technological, digitalised world whereby we are what we have on our smart phone? Life is becoming increasingly difficult for millions of people who cannot or will not take on board the technological revolution that is gathering pace.

Many older people have no idea about technology. They cannot access banks because they are not there. They cannot contact their banks because of the computerised systems replacing human beings. 'You are number 27 in the queue' is enough to dishearten and depress many people who live alone and are with minimum support. In short, there are millions of people who are excluded from society because they are not up to speed with technology and this will only get worse.

But where is this headed? I'm afraid that those who pay by the swish of a phone at a checkout, or the admission to a loo by that same seamless motion, are in for a huge shock.

Rather than a utopian dream of having life simplified by technology, we are facing the exact opposite: a complete and total loss of freedom. A life where your every living moment is monitored and controlled by the phone in your pocket.

Your phone knows where you are; it knows where you are going; they will know how much digital money you have and what you spend it on; when and where you access medical care (not in person of course - the digital doctor will see you now); where and when you holiday; if and when you can work. And much, much more.

And once your 'cash' is just an online number completely outside of your control, you will be totally and utterly dependent on the state to allow you to function at all. When this situation manifests itself, you will be owned, and subject to any qualifying conditions which the authorities impose on you in order to live. It is already this way now for millions of people in China.

So what can you do about this? How can you say: "Enough is enough, I want a better world for my children and grandchildren"?

A difficult question. But you can make a major start right now. You can ditch 'the enemy in your pocket' and refuse to use a smartphone. Because if it is allowed to completely control our lives, then it becomes the self-inflicted instrument of our own incarceration. When that happens, life as we once knew it will be but a distant memory.

An unhealthy obsession with safety

by **RICHARD HOUSE, PH.D., C.PSYCHOL.**

Keeping us safe is our job, not that of the state



Photo: Shawnanggg

I HAVE a bit of a reputation in my local railway station. Step onto the stairs there, and a canned electronic voice implores you to 'please hold the handrail, and take care' – at which point, in the absence of a sick-bag easily to hand, I volubly tell this robot to shut up.

In 2019, Greg Lukianoff and Jonathan Haidt wrote at length about censorial 'safety-ism' in their book, *The Coddling of the American Mind: How Good Intentions and Bad Ideas Are Setting up a Generation for Failure* (Penguin). The 'safety-ism' term has also been aptly applied to the extreme social distancing measures imposed during the so-called covid 'pandemic'.

In their deployment of the term, Lukianoff and Haidt show how a culture of 'campus safety' has engulfed universities, with an ever-growing army of administrators aiming to ensure students are 'comfortable consumers', even if this means severely restricting their exposure to new or controversial ideas.

For these writers, then, the rise of safety-ism in American society has generated beliefs and practices that hinder students' healthy socio-emotional development. And it's easy to see how these insights can apply more generally right across a society unhealthily obsessed with safety.

The insufferable safety-ists who are imposing this infantilising ideology seem to have forgotten (or are incapable of understanding) the great William Blake's urgent injunction from 1793: "Without contraries is no progression. Attraction and repulsion, reason and energy, love and hate, are necessary to human existence."

Blake could easily have added 'danger and safety' to his list. The key point is that in a world where all is 'safe', what happens to our development and learning?

And rather than seeing safety as just one concern amongst many that need careful balancing in living a responsible life, safety-mindedness becomes a self-fulfilling, quasi-sacred value, insinuating itself into modern culture, and colonising the very way people think about themselves in relation to the world. Positioning, and even defining, us through its fear-driven language. You know what happens when a politician tells you that they are 'keeping you safe'.

Humanistic Psychology's Karpman 'Drama Triangle' is also relevant here. Start out from the fallacious assumption that the world is inherently unsafe, and it (consciously and unconsciously) becomes a dangerous persecutor from which a rescuer needs to protect us – in this case, the nanny technocratic state – lest we become hapless victims of it. A culture of 'victimhood' is thus surreptitiously created, whereby the very ways we think about ourselves and our relation to the world are contaminated by this ideology.

Before critics robotically deploy their 'far right' trope, it's clear that indiscriminate, industrial-scale mass infantilisation is an issue relevant to everyone, irrespective of conventional politics. Those on the political left sometimes refer to 'anti-safetyism' as reactionary – a preoccupation with freedom at all costs, even if (as they disgracefully claimed during covid) it means sacrificing granny.

Such woke criticism abjectly fails to comprehend just what is at stake here. Safety-first obsessions have all manner of toxic unintended consequences - one being to render us far more susceptible to control, manipulation and the colonisation of our psyches, by those happy to ride rough-shod over our best interests.

The parallels with industrial-scale mass vaccination, where everyone is fallaciously assumed to require 'the jab' irrespective of individual needs and differences, will be obvious.

What is at stake here is personal responsibility. Malign forces are at work across modern culture, actively wishing to infantilise us - keeping us in a 'victim' level of consciousness, promoting fear-driven dependence, determined to convince us that we are incapable of looking after ourselves. This renders us far more malleable and amenable to the 'Agenda 2030' technocratic control systems they are building right now.

Technocrats want us to meekly collude with our positioning as hapless and helpless victims who need others (i.e. the state) to Keep Us Safe™. But we emphatically do not need to succumb to this poisonous ideology.

We urgently need a concerted push-back against infantilising safety-ism, wherever and however it manifests. Every piece of resistance matters, however small. If we can all start embracing mass, principled non-compliance with this toxic zeitgeist, then the safety-ist ideology will soon begin to disintegrate.

Our derision and contempt are things we need to start proclaiming, rather than meekly colluding with or accepting this infantilising madness.

I cannot wait for the day when I hear another fellow traveller swearing at the nanny state robots at Swindon railway station. For the sake of our healthy evolution, please join the pushback against robotic, intelligence-insulting safety-ism.

independentviewpoints.net

The individual doesn't count

Totalitarianism has sneaked in disguised as benevolence

by **NIALL McCRAE**

INDIVIDUAL rights, as seen in the reaction to the overturning of the Roe versus Wade judgement by the U.S. Supreme Court, are subject to double standards.

Justin Trudeau promised American women an abortion in Canada, but they cannot cross the border unless they have had the covid shots. To pro-choice activists, bodily autonomy does not apply to a state-enforced vaccine. As Groucho Marx said: "These are my principles; if you don't like them, I have others."

Victims of covid injection injuries receive little sympathy from the compliant majority, partly due to media censorship but also because any reported cases challenge the narrative that the vaccines are safe and effective. Here is a random but revealing exchange on Twitter:

"My brother died suddenly 2 days after the booster, my husband died with covid as a contributing factor and was fully vaxxed. My hairdresser had covid got vaxxed after and is now in renal failure waiting on a kidney. I had it in the beginning pre vax and lived to tell no vax."

The reply: "Here's the thing about 'science'... your anecdotes are statistically insignificant and therefore, not information reliable enough to base future decisions on. Your anecdotes then become what we call 'dangerous misinformation'. Please stop."

Joseph Stalin would have liked that reply, having once remarked that "a single death is a tragedy; a million deaths are a statistic".

The silencing and smearing of people with vaccine injuries, or even of fatalities, is incredibly insensitive. This general hatred was apparent when James Delingpole was invited to Michelle Dewberry's GB News show to discuss the government's reluctance to compensate citizens who followed guidance to take the experimental injections.

The debate drew publicity and an exchange between Delingpole and think-tanker Joanna Williams, who responded to a catalogue of harmful outcomes from the injections with a "you're being very conspiratorial, James" comment. Williams is a regular contributor to Spiked website, which offended many readers with its shilling for Big Pharma's lucrative but ineffectual inoculations.

The debate highlighted the ascent of collectivist ideology. As a vaccine proponent, Williams claimed that "for millions of people it's been safe and it's actually saved a huge number of lives." Former editor of Labour List, Peter Edwards, argued that 'rare' vaccine harm should be put into context, declaring that "I want to support public confidence in the vaccine, not undermine it."

Dewberry challenged Edwards on how the majority who were not seriously harmed overrides any concern for those whose health has been significantly impaired. This would be like a car manufacturer shrugging his shoulders at a serious fault in the braking system, because only a few people had died. Why is Pfizer treated preferentially?

On Conservative Woman website *TCW*, Gary Oliver noted: "The hapless victims are hitherto healthy people who had no need for injections, which have proven much less effective but far more dangerous than had been promised. Yet still Peter Edwards and other zealots obstinately hail the unnecessary mass vaccination as having been for the greater good, with the resultant deaths and disablements blithely brushed aside as collateral damage."

Such denial of individual rights and fundamental freedoms is supported by a puritanical minority, and facilitated by a gullible majority. The growth of communistic attitudes did not begin with covid, having been nurtured in schools and universities for decades, but compliance with lockdowns, masking and vaccination show the great strides made in a short time. Secularism has largely replaced Christian faith and the concept of free will.

Worship of the state, however much it oppresses and harms, is endemic. Totalitarianism has sneaked in the door, disguised as benevolence.

Great Fire of London was an inside job

by **K. ROSS BROADSTOCK**

French arsonists scrubbed from event's history

> **A baker's oven is designed to withstand fire better than just about anything, and is a very unlikely place for a fire to break out**



Photo: Rowan Freeman

MANY are aware that we are living through a massive rewrite of our history. Far less people seem to be aware that this has happened before.

For, as George Orwell chillingly explained in *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, each rewrite also requires that the population are made to forget that the changes ever happened. So, if our history has been changed before – how would we know?

The obvious starting point is to look at old history books and see if they tell a different story to the one that we are told now. Unsurprisingly they do, and the differences and changes are plain to see - the difficult part is getting people to look. For, as with most things, most of the population have been convinced to fall in love with the new version that they are first told - to the point of taking any criticism of it personally and emotionally, rather than rationally.

Getting people to fall in love with the official narrative, on whatever subject, is a major goal of the successful tyrant, and is in many ways the most disturbing.

Possibly the most glaring example of a rewrite that we have all been conditioned to accept is the account of the 'Great Fire of London'. Isn't it strange that this is one of the first stories taught to almost every schoolchild in Britain? It is even more strange that the version we are taught is nothing like the original account of what actually happened.

Almost everyone with a child will have shared in the fun of building a model half-timbered house and learning about the fire starting at the bakery on Pudding Lane. Strange indeed, as a parliamentary inquiry completely cleared the hapless baker, and when you think about it, a baker's oven is designed to withstand fire better than just about anything, and is a very unlikely place for a fire to break out.

There were other suspicious circumstances: the old St Paul's Cathedral was 'under repair', with barrels of flammable tar sitting around, and the main steeple packed like a tinder box ready to blow – which it did. The water supplies that would have been used to fight the fires across the City had been turned off the day before and were unavailable. This was starting to look like an 'inside job'.

Multiple eye-witness reports of arsonists being caught red-handed across the City provided the most damning evidence that the fire had been started deliberately.

It also explained how the fire managed to spread upwind and miraculously leap over some areas, only to land in and destroy others. It even managed to cross the mighty River Thames – against the wind!

The arsonists spoke French and were disguised as monks; even though most were captured, none were ever brought to trial. Bizarrely, their rescuers were members of the Duke of York's own household cavalry and even the Duke - the future James II - himself. The heavily-armed knights demanded that the prisoners be handed over to their custody, and were never seen again. Presumably they were spirited across the Channel back to France.

In his book *Architecture of Power*, Robert Shaw reveals that the view at the time was that the fire was indeed planned in advance and executed with at least the cooperation of the Royal Household. More disturbingly, it was just one of four Biblical-style apocalypses that were deliberately inflicted on London's citizens by their own rulers.

After the famous scientist, Robert Hooke, had observed the connection between rats and the plague, cats and dogs were banned from the City, causing the rat population to explode - surely no coincidence. Shaw also reveals how the rich avoided becoming infected themselves – nutmeg didn't become so stupendously expensive due to a rice pudding craze!

War was also started against what should have been Dutch allies, and before long the food started running out as London suffered the fourth of the apocalypses. Fire, pestilence, war and finally famine had all arrived around the curiously-numbered year of 1666.

The two traumatised sons of King Charles I, Charles (II) and James (II), were no doubt motivated to take revenge upon the City that had 'closed the gates' on their father, and the Parliamentarians who beheaded him for treason in 1649. They believed that the monarch was God's representative on Earth, and that the people had therefore committed 'deicide', deserving a Biblical-scale retribution.

But what were the motivations of the others involved in this 17th century 9/11?

As well as ushering in the arrival of Maritime Law and the groundwork for the Bank of England, the rulers saw this as the chance to create a new London with vast boulevards, elegant avenues and, bizarrely, a layout based on the ancient Egyptian Osiris cycle – evidence for which can still be seen today.

Sir Christopher Wren produced the plans for the new City amazingly quickly, and all that was needed was for the people to move out for work to commence. The problem was that the people stood firm and would not go. Despite being charged rent on buildings that had been burnt down, the people of London stuck it out and the ramshackle old streets slowly recovered – first tents, then shacks and then stone.

Wren cried – not for the loss of life - but for the loss of his chance to rebuild London as the rulers wanted it. This is how ruthless they were, and they may have even convinced the Royals to put their death plan in motion.

'The Monument' (to the Great Fire) was erected to commemorate the great death toll, and the French Catholic 'papist' arson plot was inscribed on the stone. This was later erased in 1830, when the political landscape had changed, and the story we're still told today was first fabricated.

- ***K. Ross Broadstock is an author, illustrator and publisher of books that deal with history that for political reasons has either been removed from our history books or never made it. The 'Britainshiddenhistory Ross' Youtube channel has hundreds of videos and there is a live show every Sunday at 8pm.***
- ***Websites: www.britainshiddenhistory.co.uk www.cymroglyphics.com***

How to deal with the system's ever-evolving threats

by **CHARLIE O'NEILL**

Build new communities rather than getting others to 'wake up'

Perhaps we wrongly believed we were engaged in the final battle for our rights during the height of the covid coup, but it turns out this was just part of a much wider war

EVERYBODY reacts differently to disillusionment and betrayal.

Faced with the prospect of everything that had previously been held true being suddenly yanked away from them, human reactions can be quite varied if, broadly speaking, predictable.

In the long run, the majority simply adapt to the new reality and tell themselves whatever comforting lies they need to, in order to keep on functioning.

Others simply cannot accept that they have been compelled by their own government to give up their economic, political and bodily autonomy and so, instead, become aggressive defenders of the 'new normal' and the institutions that impose it.

Besides these two groups, a sizeable minority hold fast to the principle of truth, in spite of the many pressures put upon them and the social and economic penalties they incur for doing so.

However, prior to the covid coup, even many within what has become 'the truth movement' did not realise quite how far gone most people who fall into the other two broad groups really were.

I really believed that the majority of people would surely 'wake up' if their own economic interests or fundamental liberties were ever directly threatened, even if they wouldn't take any serious action to protect the rights and interests of others.

Yet we have seen that this is not the case. In fact, most people will not only accept increasing infringements on their rights and economic prosperity by various government agencies, but will actually defend those imposing them, whilst blindly attacking and mocking anyone who tries to rally them in defence of their own most basic interests.

To sum up: an international clique of financiers and technocrats has, for whatever reason, decided that now is the right moment to launch a worldwide coup against the rest of mankind. In the process, this group of powerful conspirators has also understood that the majority of our fellow citizens, including and especially doctors, police, politicians, teachers, councillors, and other so-called professionals, will not only go along with this brutal assault upon our freedoms, our children's futures, our prosperity and our health, but will enthusiastically cheer it on as well.

Effectively, we find ourselves cast adrift within our own nations, unable to depend upon the core institutions that are supposed to protect and serve us. At the same time, we now know that large numbers of the people around us will turn, in an instant, into loyal government enforcers and snitches if the propaganda machine instructs them to, while the rest will do nothing meaningful to stop or oppose them.

It becomes far easier to understand how millions of seemingly normal people allowed themselves to be thrown into the meat-grinder during various wars for bankers' interests, or were even persuaded to massacre their friends and neighbours over cultural or religious differences in the past – especially given the fact that they had far less access to information than we do nowadays.

But the realisation of our true position should be an advantage to us, however depressing it might appear at first – after all the truth is the truth, no matter how uncomfortable or inconvenient.

After the initial shock has passed - and this can take some time to truly process - we can actually derive a lot of strength from this new-found knowledge of where we really stand in relation to our government and fellow citizens.

Knowing that we are a distinct community whose mindset is completely alien to most of the population around us, we need to start to change the entire way we view and interact with the world, as well as how we plan for the future.

While we should, of course, continue to forge effective political power and counter the lies of the state for our cause, as well as forming alliances of convenience with various other groups that share at least some of our goals and interests, I nonetheless believe that we have seen that the 'mass awakening' approach simply doesn't work, given the state of the population in general and the hypnotic power the mass media is still able to wield over many people.

This realisation potentially allows us to free up significant time and energy which was previously spent attempting to awaken others to the truth, when their minds were already stubbornly 'made up', in line with the mainstream narrative and government propaganda.

This is time and energy which we can now use to start building various economic enterprises, communities and resources for ourselves. By pooling the skills, knowledge and finances we have available on our side, we can - to a certain extent - insulate ourselves against many of the ruler-manufactured crises that are likely to hit the sleeping majority like a bolt out of the blue in the coming months and years.

The resources we could generate by taking such an approach could then be used to slowly convert people when they are actually ready to hear our message - and more and more will be, as the overall situation continues to deteriorate and we are proved right by events.

We can also begin to use some of the capital and networks we are creating to field effective political candidates who can advocate for our interests, divert resources to our community and safeguard our rights on a local level, to the extent that this is still possible in our corrupt and rotten system. Councils and parliament still make all the decisions, even if not their own.

Perhaps we wrongly believed we were engaged in the final battle for our rights during the height of the covid coup.

It turns out this was just part of a much wider war – a war for sanity and freedom and truth; a war in which it appears increasingly likely that many of our fellow citizens will unfortunately choose to side with those who are trying to extinguish all of those things forever.

■ ***https://economicresistance.substack.com/***



Judge an idea by its results, not its intentions

by **LLOYD JAMES**

Replacing the 'great reset' with decentralised power will preserve freedoms

IN my previous article (see below), I outlined the main arguments for the 'great reset' that are being pushed by the World Economic Forum (WEF). The intention of the technocracy is to control the problems that our current economic system has created.

Large businesses, governments and investment companies will gain economies of scale and have enough data to allocate the resources in the economy in the most efficient way. The environment will be protected, wastage stopped and harmful activities controlled.

If you listen to the WEF at their conferences in Davos, you might believe that their intentions are good. However, I believe we should judge ideas by their results, not their intentions. Utopian ideas are sold using good intentions, but as is the case with the WEF technocracy, the result will be a loss of freedom and autonomy that will completely change the human experience forever.

Economics is a social science, and there are many ways to solve problems and allocate resources. I will set the scene for the problems created by the current economic system according to the Austrian school of economics, or a system that promotes a decentralised economy.


Should we own nothing and be happy? Schwab thinks so!

The Light Issue 24 p.11

The Austrian school was created by Ludwig Von Mises after fleeing Nazi Germany due to his Jewish heritage. As a result, the Austrian school of economics has a very different view of how governments should behave. To view the economy through the Austrian lens, we can assume that consumers aim to maximise their benefits, producers aim to maximise their profits, and governments aim to maximise their power.

Under neoclassical thinking, a business leader or investor who ruthlessly cut corners to maximise their profits would suddenly become benevolent if they became a government official and would use their skills to maximise everyone's well-being.

Under the Austrian school of economics, the same person would be assumed to create a much bigger problem of increasing power for themselves and their big industry buddies. Some evidence for Austrian economists' assumptions can be observed if we look at the impact of lobbying and many government officials' second jobs. It is clear that more powerful governments have allowed influence, particularly in the pharmaceutical industry, energy and banking industries, to increase.

So, according to the Austrian economists, the problems of our current system are created by an unholy alliance between big business and big government. Pollution is caused by regulation that allows loopholes, while multinational businesses can move to countries with fewer environmental laws. Inequality is caused by complex tax laws that require specialists that only the big businesses can afford, and this allows larger businesses to keep the majority of their profits to expand their operations and crush the smaller opposition.

Government-granted patents and contracts reduce competition and innovation so as to concentrate power in fewer hands. As a result, the technocracy of the WEF will create a dystopian nightmare, and not a solution to the problems they have created.


Image from the misesinstitute.com

The Austrian economists have a different view of the solution to the world's problems - decentralisation. If we assume that the government maximises power, the best solution in a decentralised economy is to limit government's influence. This can only be done in a free-market economy if the consumers maximise their benefits by thinking rationally and altruistically.

Under our current system, we are trained to follow the expert's advice, our choices are influenced by business advertisements and we are nudged in our values and opinions by government/corporate programmes. We are taught to prioritise society's values and needs more than our own.

The Austrians turn this on its head and suggest that individuals should aim to find their own individual purpose before joining society. In this way, society is created through the cooperation of the individuals within it, to achieve their separate aims.

Society functions because through this cooperation, each individual is better off. The aim of the individual is to be independent or self-sufficient from society, allowing individuals or groups to leave society if an economic agent becomes too powerful, or they disagree with the direction society is heading. This self-sufficiency may be created individually, or in small groups of like-minded individuals, limiting anyone's power.

For decentralisation to be a viable alternative to the WEF great reset, it has to be able to solve the two main problems of our current economic system: the destruction of the environment and inequality. It is at this point that I must emphasise that complete decentralisation is an end goal, but it won't be reached in a short space of time.

However, I believe that with the added incentive of avoiding the WEF technocracy, societies can figure out how to remain free whilst solving these problems. In a decentralised system, protection of the environment has been studied by the economist Eleanor Ostrom, who observed tribes and small communities in areas of Nepal. These groups achieve an equilibrium with their surroundings through social contracts.

Ostrom found that using central planning or pricing actually created an incentive to cheat and overuse resources. Adam Smith also devised theories of how to reduce inequality in a market system, through creating more honour and respect among those that give away their money. This can be in the form of charitable donations or welfare projects. He hypothesised that it was this honour and respect from society that the successful crave. Charitable donations can give this honour and reduce the need for a government-regulated welfare state. Charities must also be open and transparent to incentivise donations.

There are many people who believe in the WEF ideas of technocracy, and the safety that this will bring. The problem with the plan is that a vocal minority will always reject the censorship, manipulation and fear that must be present to control large groups of people's behaviour. A decentralised system will allow this vocal minority to move to their own system of self-sufficiency. People should then be free to choose the system that is right for them.

If the WEF plan does not allow for this choice, especially if they are able to live, as Eleanor Ostrom has proven, in societies that live in harmony with no regulation, then the WEF great reset has proven itself to be a failure both in intention *and* results.

Secrets of survival in the end times: A Biblical perspective

by **CHRISTOPHER SPARKES**



SLAPPED across the description of a YouTube video of The Daz Band performing 'We Are The 99%' in Glastonbury, was a fact-check sticker from Wikipedia stating: 'The New World Order (NWO) is a conspiracy theory which hypothesises a secretly emerging totalitarian world government.'

The prophets of Elohim (The name of God as written in the original Hebrew bible) speak otherwise.

King David writes prophetically: "Kings of the Earth rise in rebellion and rulers conspire together" (Psalm 2/2, 83/3). Paul describes "prime rulers, forces of power, world rulers of this age of darkness, spirits of evil among the most eminent." (Ephesians 6/12). World rulers. Kosmokrators. And John: "Kings of the Earth, the high-ranking, the military commanders, the rich, and the mighty" (Revelation 6/15-17, 19/17-18).

That these Kosmokrators and conspiring powers are contemporary is shown in the Apocalypse. On the imminence of Christ's Kingdom the "magnates of the Earth" are committing genocide, deceiving the nations by "sorcery" (Revelation 18/23). The Greek for sorcery here is 'pharmakeia'.

At the coming of the Kingdom of Christ, the Kosmokrator class will attempt pathetic warfare against Him. They end as feasts for birds: "Wherever there might be a carcass, eagles will be gathered" (Matthew 24/28, Revelation 19/17-18). The NWO has already lost.

The world governments and their brainwashing, hypnotising prolefeed-media circus bombard us with the propaganda of fear and intimidation: domestic and international doom. Two lovely hot days in July are signalled as a CRISIS!!! Nanny State. The antidote, laughter: "He Who sits in the heavens laughs" (Psalm 2/4).

Jesus spoke of "wars and rumours of wars" (real wars, and media-created phony wars), "famines" (ruin of farmland, depleted shelves, anaemic soils), plagues ("pandemics", cancer, wokeism, death and injury by pharmaceuticals), financed revolutions (is there any nation without them now?), lawlessness (secularism, increased crime), love grown cold and betrayal ("eavesdropping little sneaks": Orwell), false prophets (follow the pseudoscience).

The four riders of the Apocalypse are sent out to conquer the world for Babylon - the New World Order that Wikipedia maintains does not exist.

The first horse is red, I believe for the blood-lust of Communism. The Red Terror. The riders take peace from the Earth with a sword of destruction, causing war, unrest, collapse and chaos, food shortages, depressions, hyperinflation, economic ruin (small businesses), death through famine and persecution, and take away pleasure (Revelation 6/4-9, Zechariah 1/8, 6/2).

Destructive policies through unelected quangos. Light for dark; lies becoming orthodoxy. And, we know now, geoengineering of sky and soil. Restrictions. Wrongthink. Fake meat. The internet of total surveillance.

The masterminding totalitarian Dragon creates war against the faithful for 42 months. The Dragon will force on everyone "the Mark of the Beast", with no trading for brave protestors.

But the mark is injurious: a foul and painful ulcer will break out on the compliant who take it. They'll become hyper-restless and will be blaspheming. Many will fall and serve the beast, and refuse to unburden themselves of their folly (Revelation 13, 14/11, 16/2, 9/21, 16/11).

> **Unprecedented control over mankind is on the lips of Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab. Socialist distancing. The mask of the beast. Lockups.**

Non-compliance is the first step to survival. I have Russian underground literature, *Samizdat*, from the Soviet era. It was the testimony of many: comply and perish, or resist and survive.

The death cult's mainstream prolefeed outlets relay relentless fake news, another pandemic of the Western world. Yet, with everything the Bible foretells, a reporting article of 'World news' in the *Sunday Telegraph* of 24 July, 2022 (page 15), is in alignment.

It is headed: "War, disease, famine: is the world coming apart?" It speaks of "food and fuel shortages, revolutions, wildfires, global pandemic, new level of turmoil, news cycle of gloom, like the opening scenes of a post-apocalyptic movie, cascade of calamity, venomous politics, hyperinflation, famine, global emergency, environmental degradation and deadly heat stress." Its cure-it-all for all these? "The only thing that makes sense is to try to stop climate change". Virtuous psychobabble.

Depopulation is the agenda of the NWO, as the recently collapsed Georgia Guidestones were broadcasting in plain sight. Unprecedented control over mankind is on the lips of Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab. Socialist distancing. The mask of the beast. Lockups.

It's not all going to go away. Suddenly, biblical prophecies are coming into close perspective. How will it end? The prophecy of deception and depopulation through pharmakeia at the end of Revelation 18 is followed by the coming to Earth of Christ. Jesus describes this: "They will see the Son of Man coming on a cloud, with power and immense glory" (Luke 21/27, Revelation 19).

Christ's Kingdom is one of perfect fairness, justice, love and mercy. It's that ideal yearned for in the heart of every noble-minded human. Christ is "the one raised up to rule the nations" (Romans 15/12, Isaiah 11/1-10).

I will not be among those complying with the compulsory worship of the image of the beast (Revelation 13/8, Daniel 3/12). We who read this newspaper are learning independence from government institutions, and individualism - that which socialism detests.

There is no understanding of modern politics unless we perceive that we are governed by those who hate us. The father of John the Baptist, Zacharias, prophesied that Elohim has worked "deliverance from enemies, and from the hand of all who hate us" (Luke 1/71).

Set apart from this world system, I do not believe the death-dealing venom of the Kosmokrators' propaganda. On this I stand: "My Elohim will supply all your need" (Philippians 4/19). "You prepare before me a table in the presence of my enemies" (Psalm 23/4-5).

Not only can we survive, but we can escape and be victorious. Jesus says, "Be watchful, therefore, praying... that you might be counted worthy to escape all the things which are about to arise, and to stand in the presence of the Son of Man" (Luke 21/36). And: "the one having endured until the end will be preserved" (Matthew 24/13).

All these words have been sinking down into my ears for decades.

In the seven letters to the seven churches in Revelation (chapters 2 and 3), there are individual promises to each who "comes off victorious": "Because you have kept the word of my endurance, I will keep you from the hour of testing which is about to come over the world" (Revelation 3/10). We do not have to lose this war.

We come off victorious by non-compliance, holding our ground by endurance, giving glory to God. We have to ask. King David asked: "Hide me under the shadow of Your wings from the lawless" (Psalm 17/18-9, 64/2). He was confident: "I will fear no evil" (Psalm 23/4); "In the day of evil You will hide me" (Psalm 27/ 5); "You will hide them in the secret of Your presence from the conspiracy of man" (Psalm 31/20, 32/7, 23/4). Paul and Silas escaped from jail by praying and singing praises (Acts 16/26). The fish symbol was used by Christians because Noah escaped the waters of the flood for his obeying the just commandments of God.

May we be counted worthy.

- ***Christopher Sparkes, 2022 Translator of The Keys of the Kingdom Holy Bible ~ the organic restoration of the original Scriptures. Filament Publishing, London.***
- ***http://www.keysofthekingdombible.com***

Live free and equal - the hybrid democracy


by **NEIL PETRIE**

Countries should look at Swiss model to serve their people

SERIOUS problems require effective solutions and when you have democracy in free-fall, you need a proven fix.

Our 'representative' system no longer comes close to reflecting the will of the people. Instead, it seems to merge with the dodgy deal-makers in the World Economic Forum (WEF), the bean-counters at BlackRock and the unelected pension-scroungers at the EU and UN.

In essence, the major benefit of the hybrid system is the introduction of a people's veto on all laws as they are issued by our MPs



What comes next must ensure that our desires take precedence over the interests of our whipped MPs and profit-seeking party funders. The solution not only must work, it must be simple and readily acceptable to voters.

We in the Direct Democracy Movement (DDM), believe that we have such a plan and the means to make it work. In essence, our hybrid solution provides you with the tools of direct democracy (referendums, recalls and people's initiatives), whilst retaining the useful parts of the representative system left over after the whips and lobbyists have been discarded.

We propose a hybrid, balanced system that has been trialled and perfected over nearly two centuries in an ever-growing number of countries across the world. This hybrid democracy was first adopted in Switzerland in 1848, and has been so effective in terms of the happiness, prosperity and the education attainments of its people, that even the WEF recognises its leadership in these fields.

However, ask yourself why Switzerland was kept out of the G20 after it rose to be the 19th largest economy in the world. Why might egocentric politicians bar this direct democracy-powered, hyper-successful hybrid country from having a voice?

Were they perhaps worried that people might notice this small country demonstrated that direct and representative democracy can complement one another? That it can work better than any other government system? Even worse for the politicians, the Swiss MP-equivalents only attend four three-week sessions per year. Yet these part-timers manage a nation of eight million better than full-time SNP types do one of five million in Scotland.

Maybe this is why narcissists, who are willing to enrich dodgy medical sales outfits and property-developing tax-dodging schmoozers, put their hands up in horror at the sober Swiss types who really do put the interests of their people first.

The time for action is now, as any delay may be fatal to our freedom.

It may come as a surprise to find out that direct democracy is not only very popular, but much more commonly used than most believe.

In 2018, Pew Research found that 70% of European adults believed that public referenda (The People's Veto) would be a good way to govern their country. All European countries - bar three - have provisions for referenda, and six have initiatives. In the U.S., 24 states allow initiatives, and California has had 56 recall petitions since 1919, even for judges, as they are elected too.

Both Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson advocated the introduction of the initiative, but have you ever heard a British politician do so? Well the Liberal MP, Robert Wallace, proposed that we adopt the referendum and the initiative - in 1896! Still waiting…

The boys from the Eton Rifles believe that they were born to lead, leaving the plebs to suffer what they will. Not so the Geneva Gunners, who share leadership with their fellow troopers in the march to freedom and peace – would it also surprise anyone to recall thaty the Swiss have not been at war since 1847?

An argument is put by the Oxbridge types that the lower orders will vote for low taxes and high expenditure, but this is not the actual experience. When people are involved in the decision-making and made aware of the facts, they increase taxes if deemed best, that's the fact. In contrast, how have our MPs done? How is quantitative easing (printing money and supercharging inflation) going? Had your energy bill yet? It's time for the adults to take the toys away.

In essence, the major benefit of the hybrid system is the introduction of a people's veto on all laws as they are issued by our MPs. You will have the right to gather signatures from others who support you in stopping a law coming into effect, and if you get enough in a fixed time period, you earn the right to a first-past-the-post referendum on whether the law is to be adopted.

In addition, the recall process we already have in place can be tightened up, so that it is easier to give crooked, self-serving and incompetent MPs the heave-ho.

Our movement has begun the process of acquiring access to a voting platform that will allow you to vote on upcoming bills in Parliament, thus guiding your safe-seat MP, or councillors, as to your wishes.

Over time, you will see how they do, and you can start supporting, or even stand as an independent candidate backing the hybrid system. The platform is already used across the world and is deployed across all of Europe, and benefits from government-level cyber-security protection. The Estonians use it as part of the first fully digital direct democracy in the world.

Back in 1891, a famous Italian political commentator noted: "In Switzerland, the referendum has given good proof of its worth and could perhaps show us the way to obtain better government." Our MPs represent an obsolete, corrupt and closed system serving the only the rulers, so it's time for a government for all, by having a veto over the blathering and bumbling born-to-rule-society types. Let's go hybrid and direct like the successful Swiss!

■ ***Join us now at https://ddrightnow.com/join/***

Digital Weapons

The only way t
control the entir
of nature to be (
products and ser
available via ele



Social Credit Scoring

Rolling out in China and Italy, and companies are already having to deal with this coercion in the form of 'ESG investing' (*The Light* issue 21, page 18) - if they don't play along, they go bankrupt. Now take it to a personal level - if you don't want to clap at 8pm every Thursday for example, you will be docked points and lose privileges.

RESIST: All attempts at collectivisation. Be an individual.

24/7 Surveillance

There are already more cameras everywhere than ever before, but biometrics such as facial recognition will turn the world into a panopticon prison with nowhere to hide, and georestriction becomes entirely possible with armed drones.

RESIST: Organise to stop councils erecting 5G towers and cameras.

Automation/AI

Those who don't value privacy because they 'have nothing to hide' are missing the point: no human will be watching. Computer code will monitor everything you do, and restrict you according to the live data from your digital ID.

RESIST: Refuse to use and allow automation wherever possible.

Digital Currency

If we have a completely cashless society, then everything will be enforceable by simply tying your digital wallet to your ID, allowances and scores. If you've run out of credits for what you want, you will not be allowed to purchase it.

RESIST: Use cash all the time to keep the infrastructure in place.

Personal Carbon Allowance

As in ancient times, today people are expected to make sacrifices to appease the weather gods. Now, as then, it is based on a myth in order to control the people and keep the priesthood in increasing power and luxury.

RESIST: Research the faulty 'settled science' that CO^2 causes climate change - 'the great global warming swindle'.

Online Censorship

From Britain and Europe to Canada, Australia a
either passed or are trying to pass laws which v
government and big corporate views. Here is th

RESIST: Lobby your representatives to

Smart technology is not for our benefit - a dig

For A Silent War

to monitor and
re world is for all
digitised, and all
rvices to only be
ectronic means



Digital ID From Birth

The government has announced legislation to make digital identities as trusted and secure as official documents such as passports and driving licences. Your ID will tell the world exactly who you are and how compliant a community member.

RESIST: Lobby against all moves for a digital ID.

Automated Vehicles

The UK government have announed plans for 'realising the benefits of self-driving vehicles' in a policy paper, *Connected and automated mobility 2025*. You will only be allowed to go where they say, and no further.

RESIST: Don't drive electric cars and avoid automation.

Social Impact Investing

Here's where data capitalism gets really sinister: a market where AI would farm billions of people as livestock data commodities, using 5G and the Internet of Everything along with 360 biometric surveillance and your entire digital twin data from your ID, in real time.

RESIST: Stop giving your data freely to giant internet companies.

The Internet of Everything

The internet is a great tool, but if everything is online, including us, then there will be literally no escape. Smart streetlights, smart motorways, smart tv's, smartphones - replace 'smart' with 'spy' to see the truth of a nightmare dystopian world being put in place to fully track and control us.

RESIST: Stop using devices that can watch and listen to you.

Smart Cities

Imagine everything in your city is automated, from supermarkets to car parks to venues. Your Digital ID is the only way to get in, and AI scans your face to access your ID file and see if you have enough carbon and social credits. If you don't, you will simply be refused, and there is no arguing with a computer programme that says no.

RESIST: This is Agenda 2030 - lobby councils in numbers now.

Laws

nd New Zealand, governments everywhere have
will restrict any speech which goes against official
he one Orwell specifically warned us about.

maintain freedom of speech.

ital prison from which there will be no escape

The U.S. may be the final battleground for freedom

by **SERENA WYLDE**

The covid-19 military project will unravel where it started



IN 1961, President Dwight Eisenhower issued this warning: "In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist."

Reiner Fuellmich, the consumer protection trial lawyer, and his legal colleague Viviane Fischer, who together founded the Corona Investigative Committee, have for the past two years interviewed hundreds of scientists, physicians, economists, researchers and statisticians to gather evidence to hold to account the perpetrators of a mass illusion.

This illusion was projected onto society using psychological terrorism and physical threats, and culminated in the coercive administration of dangerous injections. Reiner believes the unravelling of the web of lies and deception will occur first in the U.S. He is not alone in predicting that the house of cards will collapse at the place of its conception.

Reiner's reasons are of a practical nature. There has been a constant stream of lawsuits filed against illegal mandates, resulting in some well-written decisions by judges, as he believes that in the U.S., 'at least part of the judiciary still functions'. One of the most successful legal challenges was the one brought by Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency (PHMPT) against the FDA, which forced the release of the Pfizer clinical trial documents they had wanted to hide for 75 years.

Across the country, organisations and groups of individuals and employees have generated a critical mass of class actions against district, state and government authorities, public health and school authorities, hospitals, airlines, and any authority acting ultra vires (beyond its powers) to impose illegal requirements. The plaintiffs have argued government over-reach, discrimination against religious beliefs, violations of the Constitution and, thanks to the whistle-blower, Brook Jackson, Pfizer is having to answer allegations of fraud under the False Claims Act.

This is how momentum builds. The stream becomes a river and then bursts its banks, and civil lawsuits, and even criminal prosecutions, may soon flood the courts. The judiciary itself will then naturally align with public opinion.

For a year, the Biden administration has been pretending that the Pfizer BioNTech covid-19 vaccine being administered to the American people is fully approved by the FDA. But like so much in this illusion, it is sleight of hand. What was approved by the FDA on 23rd August 2021 was a different version of the shot, to be marketed as the 'Pfizer Comirnaty covid-19 vaccine', which was not, and is not available to the American population. But to allegedly overcome the 'vaccine hesitancy' of 30% of the population, Biden himself announced that the Pfizer shots were now fully approved, and this deception was used to reinforce certain mandates. All four covid-19 'vaccines' in use in the U.S. remain under Emergency Use Authorisation (EUA) only.

Also underpinning the legal endeavours, Reiner Fuellmich opines, is the 2nd Amendment of the U.S. Constitution - the right to bear arms. Whilst he never warmed to it, in this particular moment it constitutes a balance of power, because he estimates that between 40-50% of the American population will not tolerate more mandates, possibly planned for this Autumn.

In 1776, the colonists felt oppressed by taxes imposed by a remote government in which they had no representation. So they asserted their values, set out in three simple truths that were to become the founding principles of a new independent nation, providing recourse for any future abuse of power or deviation into tyranny:

- That all men are created equal
- That all men have their rights given by God
- Those rights are of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

And for those who place their trust in the laws of natural justice, there is something intrinsically just in the notion that where the evil began, there shall it be first repelled.

The final two chapters of Robert F. Kennedy Jr.'s *The Real Anthony Fauci* explain the dark mechanisms of America's post-war domestic and foreign policy. Chapter 11 is entitled *Hyping Phony Epidemics: "Crying Wolf"*, and chapter 12, *Germ Games*.

In 2001, a smallpox outbreak simulation named 'Dark Winter' was staged. Issues of public health never entered the equation; the focus was how to impose control over the U.S. and world populations during public health emergencies, and how to sweep away civil rights and impose mass obedience to military and medical technocrats. In essence, how to impose martial law in long-established democracies with built-in checks and balances.

In January of 2003, and again in 2005, a cabal of U.S. and European military, intelligence and medical officials germ-gamed another exercise they called 'Atlantic Storm'. Characteristically, it designed militarised strategies including how to use police powers to detain and quarantine citizens; how to control messaging by deploying propaganda, and imposing censorship to silence dissent; how to mandate masks and lockdowns, conduct track-and-trace surveillance of potentially reluctant populations; and how to suspend civil rights and due process rule-making, in favour of diktats by health authorities, all aimed at coercive vaccination of the population.

Important to understand is that the term 'bio-security' is a euphemism for biological warfare, and that the Pentagon/Fauci gain-of-function programmes are predatory in nature. Coercive vaccination is a CIA military objective, a foreign policy tool in the U.S. 21st century empire ambition. Between 1947 and 1989, the CIA were involved in more than seventy coups d'état across a third of the world's countries. Many of these had been functioning democracies.

In 1998, Robert Kadlec created an internal strategy paper for the Pentagon, promoting the development of pandemic pathogens as a stealth weapon to be used against its enemies without leaving fingerprints:

"Biological weapons, under the cover of an endemic or natural disease occurrence, provide an attacker the potential for plausible denial. Biological warfare's potential to create significant economic losses and consequent political instability, coupled with plausible deniability, exceeds the possibilities of any other human weapon."


The lull in restrictions on everyday life over the summer should not blind anyone to the fact we are at war. The autumn will likely bring more planned disruption to our lives, whether by financial and economic collapse, renewed manufactured virus outbreaks, or other grand deceptions, leading to more draconian laws and restrictions.

But these are signs of desperation. We can and must weather the storm. The U.S. may lead the way, the UK could be next, and the EU countries might take a bit longer but will follow, moving us closer to freedom.

Norwegian woman faces jail for stating 'men cannot be lesbians'

by **PAUL BENNETT**

Police investigating tweets claiming men cannot be lesbians



A NORWEGIAN self-described feminist is facing up to three years in prison due to a number of no-nonsense, common sense tweets, asserting that men cannot be lesbians.

Between February 2021 and January 2022, Christina Ellingsen, a member of the world feminist organisation Women's Declaration International (WDI), tweeted, "why (does) FRI teach young people that males can be lesbians? Isn't that conversion therapy?"

Her not-so-controversial tweets were directed at Christine Marie Jentoft, a representative for a Norwegian trans-female activist group Foreningen FRI. Jentoft was born a biological male but now identifies as a lesbian woman.

Another tweet that has reportedly been deemed as hate speech, read: "Jentoft, who is male and an advisor in FRI, presents himself as a lesbian - that's how bonkers the organisation which supposedly works to protect young lesbians' interests is. How does it help young lesbians when males claim to be lesbian, too?"

In response to the investigation, Ellingsen spoke with Reduxx, a pro-woman, pro-child, safeguarding online news platform. She said: "I am under police investigation for campaigning for women's rights, because to certain groups, the fact that women and girls are female and that men cannot be women, girls, mothers or lesbians, is considered hateful."

"Women are not protected against hate speech in Norway, but men who claim to be lesbian and a woman, are protected both on the grounds of both gender identity and sexual orientation.

"The fact that police are legally able to investigate and persecute women who engage in women's rights is concerning. This is new territory in Norway, so the outcome of the investigation is important, either if the case is dismissed or if it leads to a trial."

Faux do-gooders Amnesty International Norway also got in on the act, by accusing Ellingsen of harassing Jentoft during a TV debate that centred around the topics of biological sex, language, and trans health. During the debate, Ellingsen said to Jentoft: "You are a man. You cannot be a mother. To normalise the idea that men can be mothers is a defined form of discrimination against women." Ellingsen alleges that Jentoft filed a complaint to the Norwegian police after the TV discussion.


Norway introduced gender identity into the hate crimes law in 2021.

Ellingsen told Reduxx: "Women make up the majority of those who donate to charities. Do women realise what they support when they [donate to] Amnesty International? I think that by backing the most exploitative industries against women, and by supporting the erasure of women as a sex-class, Amnesty might be making a mistake."

In an interview with Tucker Carlson, Ellingsen said: "You can't defend women if you can't define what a woman is."

One of Ellingsen's critics is a professor of ethics at Oslo called Ole Martin Moen. In 2015, he published a controversial paper titled *The Ethics of Pedophilia,* which was published in the Nordic *Journal of Applied Ethics*. In the opening abstract of the paper, Moen said: "Pedophilia is bad. But how bad is it? And in what ways, and for what reasons, is it bad?". He also called for the normalisation of paedophilia to be taught in schools. The professor currently serves on the board of a trans-activist lobby group in Norway.

Once again, George Orwell was prophetic when he said, "the further a society drifts from the truth, the more it will hate those that speak it." Speaking common sense and stating the obvious will soon be seen as a revolutionary, and criminal, act.



French farmer wins court case over 4G phone tower

by **PAUL BENNETT**

40 of 200 livestock died after mast installation

A RESOLUTE farmer in central eastern France recently won a battle in court, resulting in a court order requiring local authorities to temporarily turn off a 4G antenna for two months, over animal welfare concerns on his farm.

According to Mr Frederic Salgues, since the installation of the 4G antennas in July 2021 - 200 metres from his farm - 40 of his 200-strong herd had died, his herd were feeding less, and milk production had decreased by nearly 20 per cent, all within days of the cell phone tower being switched on. He added, "there are no medical elements that could [otherwise] explain this brutal drop in milk production."

In May 2022, the administrative court in Clermont-Ferrand ruled in favour of the French farmer by stating that, "it is appropriate to order the temporary cessation of the operation of this antenna for a period of two months, taking into account its general impact, with monitoring by the judicial expert of the behaviour of the herd, and of the dairy cows in particular, during this period."

The mobile network operators who installed the 4G antenna now have three months to act on the court's recommendations. A judicial expert has been tasked with monitoring and supervising the entire process, to record data and compile a detailed report in their findings, to see if there is a definite link between the antenna and the odd behaviour of the livestock.

In 2021, in a separate incident regarding 5G technology in France, two Catholic monks based near Lyon were arrested for setting fire to a 5G antenna. According to Agence France-Presse (AFP), the men admitted to the crime, telling a local prosecutor that they acted to "protect the population from the harmful effects of 5G."

After the positive court ruling, Mr Salgues told AFP News, that he hoped his cows would be able to "recover as soon as possible" and called the case ruling, " a major relief, redemption and a victory."

In Europe and beyond, farmers are leading the charge against authoritarianism, and are proving a force to be reckoned with.



‘Stalin’s nanny’ appointed to the World Health Organisation

by **PAUL BENNETT**

Michie takes on top role covering behavioural insights



BRITISH scientist and longstanding Communist party member, Susan Michie, has been appointed Chair of the World Health Organisation’s Technical Advisory Group on Behavioural Insights and Sciences for Health.

Professor Michie was a member of a sub-group advising SAGE, the UK government’s health advisory body that imposed draconian lockdowns and mandates.

The health psychology professor was a keen advocate and zealot in trying to bring about stringent ‘zero covid’ communist-style restrictions in the UK, including a Christmas lockdown. Michie was a regular contributor on mainstream TV and radio, with constant doom-laden forecasts. She once likened opening up society again to building “terrifying new variant factories.”

In an interview on Channel 5 in 2021, when pressed about the timeline of masks and social distancing, she said: “I think forever, to some extent.” During the same interview, she compared and normalised covid restrictions to wearing a seatbelt and picking up dog poo in a park.

Michie was a key player in weaponising fear by using covert psychological tactics on the population to push the covid narrative in the UK. It comes as no shock that the WHO would appoint the likes of Susan Michie to their ranks. Recently re-elected to serve a five-year term, Director-General of the WHO, Tedros Adhanom, also has a questionable communist past.

Originally from Ethiopia, he grew up in the northern Tigray region and became a member of the communist-leaning Tigray People’s Liberation Front (TPLF). He rose through the ranks of the TDLF party, leading to important roles in the Ethiopian government - first as Health Minister and then as Foreign Minister.

A former Nobel Peace Prize nominee, David Steinman, has accused Tedros of genocide for his alleged role in directing security forces to kill and torture its own people from 2013-2015. Steinman has lodged a complaint at the International Criminal Court in the Hague. Tedros has denied the allegations.

Steinman claimed that while Tedros was in a senior government position, the regime was “marked by widespread or systematic crimes against humanity by subordinates”. Steinman was a foreign advisor to the Ethiopian democracy movement for 27 years.

Tedros is a close ally of the communist regime in China, and heaped undeserved praise on their initial covid response back in January-February 2020. He hailed the Chinese response to covid as “beyond words”. It is no coincidence that China has made financial contributions to both the Ethiopian aid budget, and to the WHO, while Tedros has been in charge.

It should therefore come as little surprise that the WHO have selected a self-confessed communist to their ‘Nudge Unit’. Susan Michie will fit right in with her new comrades.



Spanish courts pave way for future digital ID

Court rejects action to stop state coercion

by **DAVE HOLMES**

What a difference four years can make. In the autumn of 2017, the Catalan regional government, the Generalitat, stood defiantly against its Spanish counterpart in its quest for self-determination.

Fast-forward to the winter of 2021, and its latest act of defiance was to introduce the vaccine passport, brazenly contravening the Spanish Constitution on the grounds of discrimination, curtailment of freedom, and deprivation of intimacy through the enforced divulgence of private medical data as a condition of entry to public establishments. Six months later, the Spanish High Court of Justice has effectively sided with them.

Catalonia was one of eleven out of the seventeen autonomous regions in Spain which voted to implement the illegal measure. When the digital covid passport was introduced on November 26, 2021, its inception had to be delayed for a week due to the overload of downloads.

Even those who disagreed with it felt they could not afford to be without it, prizing social inclusion and Christmas-as-usual above the sinister implications of state coercion. Fearing reprisals and financial penalties, the majority of establishments complied and immediately began barring their unjabbed clientele. Meanwhile, the jabbed packed into bars and restaurants like sardines.

The response among the awakened was swift: a weekly protest march several thousand strong severed the centre of Barcelona every Saturday night, along with similar demonstrations in most other major cities. Of course, the media ignored these, with only one short TV news item referring to ‘a couple of thousand negacionistes (deniers)’. But they were gaining support, people were cheering from their balconies and these supporters began to outnumber those abusing the protesters as they passed by.

We became organised, and within a few weeks, several lawsuits had been filed. A Telegram group, started by a handful of people in our village, had more than a thousand members two days later. Forty of us came forward to file our own lawsuit against the Generalitat, citing the numerous national and international acts and treaties it was violating.

In fact we filed five - our lawyers felt that dividing ourselves into a five-pronged attack would be a stronger tactic. Given the rocky political relationship between the Spanish state and the Generalitat, particularly in the light of the 2017 push for independence, we hoped that the Spanish justice system may again seek to punish the Generalitat by revoking its vax passport.

We then formed an association, the Association for Resources for Freedom, to crowdfund legal costs and also offer resources and training to members in areas such as common law, children’s rights and social media censorship.

The lawsuit passed through its various judicial stages, the first of which consisted of our request for the immediate revocation of the passport, given its unconstitutional nature. This was not well received either by the Generalitat or the High Court, who responded by imposing costs of €2,000 on all five of our groups. This was a highly irregular and unusual move, given that no costs had been incurred by any party at this preliminary stage, but it sent out a clear message that they were going to play dirty. Crowdfunding €10,000 so early in the process would be a tall order, so on the advice of our lawyers, we proceeded with only one of the five lawsuits.

By this time, the vaccine passport had been revoked, having lasted a day over two months, the Generalitat being forced to admit it had not been effective. This slightly shifted the focus of our action. We were now campaigning to try and ensure that any punishment the Generalitat received would deter them from ever reintroducing the measure.

Unfortunately, our story has no happy ending – the High Court ruled in favour of the Generalitat, that the measure had been taken in good faith, effectively applauding them for taking appropriate action.

The Constitutional Court, which in 2017 had condemned the declaration of Catalan independence as unconstitutional, resulting in the exile of the President and the ruthless imprisonment of nine Catalan politicians, made no criticism of the unconstitutional act of enforcing an experimental medical procedure.

They simply gave the Spanish government a slap on the wrist for breaking legislative protocol in allowing the regional governments to approve such measures. In our case, as a nod to the plaintiffs, no further costs were imposed by the High Court in recognition of our right to challenge a legislative impropriety, but this was worded in such a way so as to imply that it was a deterrent not to pursue the matter further through the Supreme or European courts.

The 2022 reform of the Spanish National Security Law, granting the government powers to seize any goods or property in an arbitrarily-declared ‘state of emergency’, clearly illustrates that the central government is no friend of the people. Imagine how difficult it would be for them to enforce their own future vaccine passport had they condemned one of their regional governments for doing so.

The members of our group involved in the remaining lawsuit were advised by our lawyers that to continue would be to risk severe financial penalties, with the Supreme Court highly unlikely to overturn the ruling of the High Court or waive costs a second time. However, the costs we incurred for calling for an abolition of the passport are currently subject to an ongoing appeal.

The tide of public interest had also turned, with most people’s sense of outrage having waned since the passport disappeared. The outcome of the case is simply another example of how political and ideological differences can easily be put aside among the ruling institutions when the people are the enemy. The people should act likewise.

■ ***Dave Holmes, Asociación de Recursos por la Libertad (https://recursosporlalibertad.weebly.com/)***

New initiative to combat hysteria of war

People don't want war but politicians do

by **IAN FANTOM**

WHAT is it that turns man into beast when a war breaks out?

In 1914, only five British MPs voted against declaring war on Germany, yet there were widespread suspicions of a secret deal between Britain and France, in which Britain would send troops to France if it were attacked by Germany.

One of those MPs was Arthur Ponsonby, who later wrote a book called *Falsehood in War-Time*. He revealed not only the lies told during the war, but the lies told in order to get Britain into war in the first place. Had that been known for certain at the time, there would probably have been no war.

In 2012, an influential U.S. think tank, The Washington Institute for Near-East Policy, held a seminar under the title How to Build U.S.-Israeli Coordination on Preventing an Iranian Nuclear Breakout. In reality, it was about how to get the U.S. into a war with Iran.

The institute's research director, Patrick Clawson, stated: "I frankly think that crisis initiation is really tough, and it's very difficult for me to see how the United States President can get us to war with Iran".

He went on to summarise the traditional ways of getting to war, and concluded by saying: "We are in the game of using covert means against the Iranians" - and that they could get nasty with it.

It would appear that all wars are started by a Big Lie, which leads whole populations to believe that they are under an imminent threat from the other side. The invasion of Iraq was no exception, although Tony Blair was one of the few British Prime Ministers to get caught red-handed.

Even if there is no war, it seems some people are in a war mentality. They seem to believe they are acting out of patriotism, when that sense of loyalty is not to the people of the country, but to the political and banking elite, who largely control the minds of the people.

Whole populations can believe different things, because they are trusting of those who hold authority in their respective countries. This is linked very much to language, which is not only a means of communication, but also a badge of identity.

The fighting in Ukraine is not explicitly about language, but language differences play an important role in the definition of 'two peoples'. When the language of one is suppressed by another, then one of the two peoples is being suppressed by the other. In Yugoslavia, Serbian and Croatian were previously thought of as two dialects of Serbo-Croat, until fighting broke out, when each of those two peoples had to be careful which words they used when mixing with the other.

Even in Northern Ireland, where they all spoke the same language, they didn't all identify with the same language. "Do you realise I don't speak my own language?" one Irishman once said to me.

Populations are kept separate, so as to minimise communication across boundaries. The authorities don't want their Big Lies to be revealed by anyone. As former politician and social activist, Arthur Ponsonby once said, lying is an integral part of warfare. The purpose may be to mislead the enemy, or it may be to harness the support of their own population.

But without a Big Lie preceding the outbreak of hostilities, there would be no war in the first place.

So to get even limited contact between people on both sides of a war can be quite challenging. Russia and the UK are effectively in a proxy war in Ukraine.

The August meeting of Keep Talking in London was possibly a first in bringing together people from both sides, with a video link to three Russians in Moscow and St Petersburg, with a live audience in London. The three Russians had appeared in a 'List of Shame' produced in Poland, vilifying them as warmongers.



Lord Ponsonby

I later managed to get into some correspondence with the person who had drawn up that list, who told me that the names were given by 'others', and he couldn't himself give me the evidence. When I managed to contact one of the 'others', I was met with evasion and abuse.

All three Russians had been active in the Universal Esperanto Association, and the whole point of this movement was to enable ordinary folk on both sides of disputes to be able to communicate with each other, outside the arena of language politics.

The founder of the movement, Dr L. L. Zamenhof, was dedicated to trying to understand the causes of war, and doing what he could to at least reduce the ferocity of the constant wars raging in Europe at that time. He died in 1917, mystified at the cause of the First World War.

In order to solve any conflict, one has to first listen to both sides. In practice, the present-day Esperanto association is being nudged in the direction of typical peacenik groups in crying out 'I'm against the war', without really understanding what that means.

The point of view of the Russians was that the war in Ukraine started in 2013, and was instigated by U.S. sources in Maidan Square. That coup in Kiev was never accepted by the largely Russian-speaking population of Donbass, and so a civil war broke out. That was, in theory, resolved by the Minsk agreement, which allowed the largely Russian-speaking areas autonomy within Ukraine, and the right to use their own language. The Minsk agreement collapsed when Kiev put restrictions on the use of the Russian language, and bombed civilian populations in Donbass.

A video of the whole Keep Talking session, with constant interpretation between the two languages, can now be viewed online (see reference 6), and it gives insights into how war hysteria is whipped up by some of the authorities, and how this can be combated by encouraging people to listen to both sides before being judgemental.

References

1. **'Arthur Ponsonby (1871-1946)', Parliamentary website, UK** (https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/WW1/Arthur-Ponsonby-1871-1946.pdf)
2. **'FALSEHOOD IN WAR-TIME', Arthur Ponsonby MP, 1929** (http://www.vlib.us/wwi/resources/archives/texts/t050824i/ponsonby.pdf)
3. **'How to Build U.S.-Israeli Coordination on Preventing an Iranian Nuclear Breakout', Patrick Clawson, Dennis Ross, David Makovsky, The Washington Institute for Near East Policy, 2012-09-25** (https://www.washingtoninstitute.org/policy-analysis/how-build-us-israeli-coordination-preventing-iranian-nuclear-breakout)
4. **'How to Build U.S.-Israeli Coordination on Preventing an Iranian Nuclear Breakout', video of [3]**(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fsvDWZTVP3E)
5. **'Batalo pri la rusia ĉefdelegito', La Libera Folio, 2022-08-02** (https://www.liberafolio.org/2022/08/02/batalo-pri-la-rusia-cefdelegito/)
6. **'Ukraine: What the Russians say / Ukrainio: Kion diras la rusoj', video, 2022-08-04 [created], 2022-08-14 [posted], Keep Talking** (https://odysee.com/@iafantomo:c/keeptalking_2022-08-04)

Contagion and infection - appearances can be deceptive

Take back responsibility for your health

by **DAWN LESTER & DAVID PARKER**




Photo: kai Stachowiak

THE most common question people ask when confronted with the statement that 'germs' are not the cause of disease is: if it isn't a germ, then what is it?

This question is clearly based on the idea that there is a single identifiable causal agent for each disease, but this is not the case.

People also often ask about the contagiousness of diseases. This is based on a common experience in which a number of people in close proximity, such as family members, display the same symptoms at more or less the same time. This experience is believed to indicate that these people have all 'caught' the same disease. In other words, people believe that diseases are both infectious and contagious; but this too is not the case.

The origin of the word 'contagion' is the Latin contagio, which only refers to direct contact. But according to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, it is now regarded as involving indirect as well as direct contact. This definition allows the medical establishment to claim that so-called 'infectious agents' can spread both directly and indirectly, despite the fact that no mode of transmission has ever been proven.

The phenomenon of contagion is believed to be real, because of the common experience of simultaneous symptoms. But, as the adage states, appearances can be deceptive; an adage that holds true for the appearance of an infectious disease.

It is clear that this contradicts the ideas about infectious diseases promulgated by the medical establishment and the media. However, the medical establishment operates from the basis of a flawed understanding of the human body.

The symptoms associated with what are called infectious diseases represent the body's efforts to expel toxins, repair damage and restore health. This is explained by Herbert Shelton in his book, *Natural Hygiene: Man's Pristine Way of Life*, in which he discusses 'acute disease', a term that he refers to as, "vital action in some, one or all of the living tissues or organs in resisting and expelling injurious substances and influences and in repairing damages."

The significance of this statement is that it demonstrates the true nature of disease and the purpose of the symptoms produced by the body.

It is therefore important to recognise that the symptoms each person experiences will be unique to them because of their unique exposures to the 'injurious substances and influences' that affect their bodies. However, within the same environment, many people may experience common exposures to them, explaining why they may experience similar symptoms when their bodies initiate the expulsion of those substances and influences.

Furthermore, contrary to the medical establishment view, the human body is an amazing, interconnected, self-regulating organism that functions holistically. Therefore, any substance or influence that injures one part can adversely affect the whole organism, as Herbert Shelton writes:

"The human organism is an indivisible whole, and anything that tends to interfere with the unity of its structure or the unity of its function becomes a factor in the causation of disease."

Problems can only be solved by addressing and removing their root causes. But the methods employed by the medical establishment that involve the use of pharmaceutical products do not address and remove the root causes of disease, because they do not understand disease or the human body.

It is important to always bear in mind that each person is a unique individual who will experience exposures to a unique combination of injurious substances and influences that can cause their bodies to initiate the appropriate symptoms. The ability of each person's body to initiate the symptoms required to expel toxins, repair damage and restore health will also depend on their unique health status.

There are clearly many injurious substances and influences to which people can be exposed. We refer to them in our book as the 'four factors', which are: inadequate nutrition, toxins, electro-magnetic frequencies and prolonged emotional stress.

The category of toxins includes a huge number and variety of toxic chemical compounds. We are often exposed to them on a daily basis, although mostly at low levels that do not necessarily produce symptoms. But many of these chemicals are bio-accumulative and slowly build up within the body to a level that requires expulsion. At this point, the body will initiate the appropriate symptoms to expel them.

Therefore, when groups of people experience similar symptoms at a similar time, which gives the appearance of contagion, it may be due to common exposure to 'injurious substances or influences'. However, people may not all experience symptoms with the same severity and duration because this will depend on their unique health status that will, in turn, depend on any other factors that may affect their health, whether beneficially or adversely.

There are other aspects to the category of 'stress' that need to be contemplated, because the term also refers to our belief systems, which include our thoughts, ideas and fears. This may even include a belief that diseases are contagious.

The placebo and nocebo effects are known phenomena that demonstrate the power of the mind; a topic that has been discussed by Dr Bruce Lipton PhD throughout his work for many decades. In his article entitled *Epigenetics*, he explains that we are all indoctrinated into the belief that we do not have control over our health. This means that we are made to feel that we are victims of germs that can infect us and cause diseases that can be spread to other people.

A belief in the transmissibility of disease gives rise to the anticipation of an adverse outcome when a person with whom we may come into contact displays symptoms of what is believed to be an infectious disease, such as sneezing or coughing, for example. The manifestation of symptoms will be taken as positive proof of the transmission of the germ and strengthen the belief in the phenomenon of contagion.

But a belief in infection and contagion is not the only factor at play when people experience symptoms at a similar time. It is, however, an extremely important factor that has great relevance to our current situation, which is based on the idea that a deadly virus is circulating across the world causing untold harm and death, and can be transmitted between people.

This is an erroneous idea. There is no evidence to support it, nor is there any evidence that anyone can spread any condition of ill-health to another person. Each person's health status is unique to them and to their beliefs and ideas, including their fears about diseases being contagious. This applies to all so-called infectious diseases and not just covid. There is no reason to continue to believe in such ideas.

This is key to bringing our current situation to an end, because people no longer need to be afraid of catching any disease or passing it on to someone else. But we do need to learn how to take back control over, and responsibility for, our own health.

■ ***https://whatreallymakesyouill.com/***

Uninformed Consent

‘It’s easier to fool people than to convince them that they have been fooled’
– Mark Twain

by **LOUISE K FRYER**

SO begins a two-part documentary by Matador Films, called *Uninformed Consent*. This is a must-watch film summarising the madness of the last two-plus years.

The first few minutes are shocking, told by an ordinary member of the public who has suffered an appalling tragedy due to the covid tyranny. What has befallen him? You’ll have to watch it to find out.


The following two hours are a documentation of what has been inflicted on innocent men, women and children, most particularly from the vaccine mandates issued in so many countries we had previously viewed as democracies, such as America, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

We see footage of the tyrants in full flow; a particularly revolting moment is witnessing Justin Trudeau, Canada’s Prime Minister, talking to camera in a piece that urges four-year-old children to get covid ‘vaccinated’ as soon as they turn five. Watch him carefully as he issues this edict with a fake smile, as he scratches his nose. A seemingly innocuous gesture, but in poker players this would be known as a ‘tell’ - dictionary definition, ‘an unconscious action that is thought to betray an attempted deception.’

Dr Stephen Malthouse, one of the contributors to the documentary, reveals the shocking fact that in America there have been more adverse effects reported to VAERS (their version of our Yellow Card report) from covid shots than ALL vaccines combined for 30 years. And yet still they don’t pull them from the market, and indeed, continue to promote them.

As the story unfolds, we begin to see who is steering the ship. Trudeau tells us that “this pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset”, presumably the Great Reset that his mentor Klaus Schwab talks about at the Word Economic Forum. So many world leaders singing from the same song sheet, all using the phrase ‘build back better’.

The documentary is peppered with famous quotes, some enlightening, others revealing. Benito Mussolini once said, “Fascism should more properly be called corporatism, because it is the merger of state and corporate power”, which fits completely with the agenda that is currently being followed.



Dr Andrew Hill and Dr Tess Lawrie were working to make ivermectin an approved early treatment, hoping to prevent many deaths, but as the footage in the film shows, his enthusiasm dimmed and the conclusions of his review suddenly changed course. His university received 40 million dollars from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, but who knows if that had any bearing on it?

Gates, by the way, is the World Health Organisation’s biggest donor. The WHO is attempting to take unelected control of decision-making with its ‘Pandemic Preparedness Treaty’.

Uninformed Consent features many eminent doctors who have been brave enough to speak truth to power, often at considerable cost to themselves. For example, Dr Mike Yeadon, Dr Robert Malone, Dr Byram Bridle, Dr Stephen Malthouse, Dr Charles Hoffe and cardiologist Dr Peter McCullough. These professionals should be listened to and allowed to debate, but instead are censored and accused of being spreaders of misinformation. Please watch them and make up your own mind.

Maybe when the damage caused by the covid jabs builds ever more, the tide will turn. Dr Hoffe posits that what eventually ended the Vietnam War was public opinion; there were so many servicemen coming back in body bags that there was a tipping point, where the damage became too great to hide anymore.

Alan Cassels, author of *Selling Sickness* and former government drug policy researcher, thinks one way forward is to “build demand amongst consumers to ask the hard questions” – in other words, let us begin the push to hold governments, Big Pharma and corporate media to account. The time is long overdue.

The documentary ends with the epilogue ‘In Memory of Democracy’. Let us focus on retrieving it before it’s too late.

■ **Watch the film free here:** ***https://rumble.com/v1erlp3-uniformed-consent-matador-films-full-documentary.html***

No evidence that depression is caused by a chemical imbalance

by **PAUL BENNETT**

RESEARCHERS from University College London (UCL) have published eye-opening findings that may create a seismic shift in how we view and treat depression.

Joanna Moncrieff, lead author of the study, and professor of psychiatry at University College London, said: “It is always difficult to prove a negative, but I think we can safely say that after a vast amount of research conducted over several decades, there is no convincing evidence that depression is caused by serotonin abnormalities, particularly by lower levels or reduced activity of serotonin.

“The popularity of the ‘chemical imbalance’ theory of depression has coincided with a huge increase in the use of antidepressants.

“Thousands of people suffer from side-effects of antidepressants, including the severe withdrawal effects that can occur when people try to stop them, yet prescription rates continue to rise.”

Co-author of the research, Dr Mark Horowitz, reacted to their findings by saying: “I had been taught that depression was caused by low serotonin in my psychiatry training, and had even taught this to students in my own lectures. Being involved in this research was eye-opening and feels like everything I thought I knew has been flipped upside down. It is high time to inform the public that this belief is not grounded in science.”

The UCL team also highlighted that many studies found that precipitating external factors, such as stressful life events, can increase the likelihood of depressive features. More pluralistic treatment options, such as psychotherapy, alongside practices such as exercise, self-care, and mindfulness, or addressing underlying perpetuating factors such as poverty, stress and loneliness, can greatly help with low mood, said the researchers.

Dr Horowitz said: “One interesting aspect in the studies we examined was how strong an effect life events played in depression, suggesting low mood is a response to people’s lives, and cannot be boiled down to a simple chemical equation. We believe the situation has been driven partly by the false belief that depression is due to a chemical imbalance.”

The ground-breaking umbrella peer-review meticulously examined all relevant studies and research published on depression and serotonin. The detailed review can be found in the scientific journal *Molecular Psychiatry,* under the title: ‘The serotonin theory of depression: a systematic umbrella review of evidence’.

The professor and consultant psychiatrist, Moncrieff, also questioned the efficacy of antidepressants by stating: “Our view is that patients should not be told that depression is caused by low serotonin or by a chemical imbalance, and they should not be led to believe that antidepressants work by targeting these unproven abnormalities. We do not understand what antidepressants are doing to the brain exactly, and giving people this sort of misinformation prevents them from making an informed decision about whether to take antidepressants or not.”

Irving Kirsch, an American psychologist, researcher and academic, discussed the efficacy of antidepressants in his 2009 book called: *The Emperor’s New Drugs - Exploding the Antidepressant Myth*. He argued that the chemical imbalance theory of depression is wrong, and that antidepressants have minimal therapeutic effect on depression, but because of their common or serious side-effects, antidepressants can be powerful active placebos.

Antidepressant drugs rank third in pharmaceutical sales worldwide. According to a report from *Fortune Business Insights*, the market is projected to reach U.S. $18.29 billion by 2027.

It is high time that enlightened health professionals and service users questioned the psychiatric and Big Pharma industry over its inaccuracies, pseudoscience, and reliance on popping pills.

‘Still Ill’, a 1984 song by the band The Smiths, asked the curious question that we should all be asking: “Does the body rule the mind, or does the mind rule the body?”

Awaken your inner warrior

Friend, you and I are not part of a new breed. We belong to a very old one, a proud and noble warrior race

by **BEN HUNT**


Photo: Gioele Fazzeri

MANY of us are turning our attention to what kind of future awaits us after the dust settles.

Of course, there are multiple ways this conflict could turn out.

If They manage to win, it would take a miracle. They do of course have many resources, but we know that those are mainly illusory. They control the mainstream narrative through the media and orthodox science.

All of that is, of course, built on another mass illusion: the entirely fake world of what is commonly known as money, where privately-owned central banks create imaginary credit out of thin air, then lend it to countries with interest. We are now beyond the point where all the assets of major countries could ever repay this debt, so the whole house of cards must soon come tumbling down.

But maybe They will find a way. Maybe They will bring in their central bank digital currency that threatens to switch off your ability to pay rent, buy fuel, or feed your family, if you criticise Big Brother or fall behind on your boosters.

Maybe the ever-present fears of invisible viruses and climate change will persuade enough of the public to resist that nagging doubt that their rulers are not really on their side… while the cost of living skyrockets, while their bank accounts are run dry, while their health collapses, and while the last of their self-respect is drained away.

Maybe they'll keep a lid on their discontent. Maybe they will keep their heads down and obey.

Sure, it is a lot to imagine, a very long shot. But what else have They got? All they can do is double down and roll the dice one more time. There simply is no other choice, because fakery and lies are all they have. They have to try this one more time! They can only hope that enough of us step up and walk willingly into the slaughterhouse.

But I don't think enough of us will. Too many of us are already awake to the reality that we are being factory-farmed by psychopaths who do not, who cannot, care about us. What's more, many more are facing up to that reality every single day, and cutting their own cord.

Yes, we accept that They can only try the same old trick once again. It is all They have. But They need to accept that it is all too late, because we cannot submit once again. We just can't.

And I believe there can only be one outcome. We have to win, if for no other reason than there can be no alternative.

Of course, there is no alternative for Them either. We are now locked into this final battle, where, in fact, there is only one possible outcome. And yes, we must win.

I can back up this prediction with three simple, logical facts.

Fact number one: we are in the majority. We are the 99.99%.

Consider that the U.S. military employs two million personnel. How many people live in the United States? Three hundred and thirty-five million! That means civilians outnumber the military by over one hundred and fifty to one. And that's even assuming that all those serving personnel follow orders to commit war crimes against their own kin. Not likely!

Fact number two is that because we outnumber Them and all their minions by a staggering margin, we actually have all the real power. We can see this by simply observing the fact that all they use is propaganda, and all that propaganda serves to suggest that We The People must demand to be rescued from ourselves, by a rescuer that will inevitably take the form of their New World Order.

Sure, the police and the courts occasionally exert some violence over the civilian population to remind us of our place. That's how bullies work: they have to make the odd example with a show of supposed strength. But imagine what happens when every other child in the schoolyard stands up together and says, "no, this stops now." That's right, it stops.

And now to the third fact, which is that something very significant has happened recently. This is something that They are surely aware of, but it is also something that They are now powerless to halt.

The third and final reason why we must win comes as a consequence of the way that They have repeatedly been forced to up the ante, piling more lies on top of their previous lies (Oh, what a tangled web they have woven!).

Because you know what they've done. They have pushed the bullshit too far. They have taken it beyond the realms of reason. They have forced us to wake up.

But wait, it gets worse, much worse... for Them.

You see, this is not like the normal way you wake up on a Monday morning after a relaxing weekend, and prepare for another week of wage-slavery. We are not waking up the same as when we went to bed the night before.

What is waking up is something far deeper, something that has slumbered for a very long time. By forcing us to face the truth about what They are and what They have been doing to us for centuries, They have inadvertently caused us also to wake up to a truth about who we really are.

When we truly accept this awakening, we find something remarkable when we look in the mirror. What we are faced with is not simply a refreshed version of who we were before.

It is a warrior.

You are a warrior. You come from a long line of warriors. Your ancestors were warriors.

Let's be clear. We are not talking about the modern warrior, the soldier who is psychologically conditioned to lose touch with their empathy, and employed to bully and to extort from the weak, but quite the opposite.

A spiritual warrior does not avoid conflict at all costs, but will strive for the cause of peace and love and balance and justice… no matter the price.

Peace and justice are inseparable. You cannot have peace while there is injustice, so a true warrior cannot tolerate injustice. It is not a choice; it is who they are.

It is who you are. The injustice has infected human society to such an egregious extent that it has awakened your dormant inner warrior, and with it a new calling to fight for the cause of true, eternal, natural law. You have remembered who you are. Welcome home!

Your ancestors were warriors precisely because they knew their place in the world, and when you understand your place in the web of life, you realise that you are the web of life. You are the laws of nature. You are a living incarnation of justice and love, the whirling dance of life and death. You cannot tolerate injustice because it is against who you are at the most fundamental level.

Friend, you and I are not part of a new breed. We belong to a very old one, a proud and noble warrior race.

We are simply remembering the truth that we are authentic humans, who always lived intimately with nature, plants, animals, the heavens and the seasons, with the cycles of life and with death.

I bet you can feel that quickening right now as you read these words. And if you read them again, you will feel it build, and that's because these words are speaking to a part of you that is real, ancient, and magical. A part of you that has always been there, but has just been slumbering.

If there is any doubt that a true human is a warrior for justice, just reflect on all the movies about that very theme: from Bug's Life to Star Wars to Braveheart to The Matrix - the story of the rebel who stands up against tyranny is etched into our culture.

One reason for their popularity is that they speak to the true, archetypical human. Perhaps a more cynical reason is that it allows us to release our justice pressure valve by vicariously living out our warrior self-image through a fantasy.

Let's face the truth that we are warriors. we are now faced with a new kind of enemy. Also, we have little left to lose, which is bad news for Them.

https://theredpillrevolution.com

Letters to The Light

Got something to say? Outraged that this paper has been shoved through your door? Want to praise us for being the only widely-circulated newspaper giving a voice to the alternative, the censored, the cancelled and the forgotten? Got suggestions or corrections? ***Email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk***

DEAR The Light,

Excellent article by Ben Hunt (Issue 24, p.22)

Obviously he has allowed for the overblown egos of the science fraternity, who should all be wearing a warning label with the words 'I don't want to argue with you - I just want to explain why I am right.'

Add to that the fact that three generations (for the most part) were parked in front of the TV in their baby chairs, absorbing the drip feed that was pushed out continuously. Most people are happy to follow the soap icons and the BBC (alias - the Pied Piper) over the cliff edge. As long as they can have their Friday night booze, pie and chips in the local pub, all is well in their world. All is not well, and it will take EVERYONE to stand, not just a few alert and caring individuals.

I remained unvaccinated despite accusations of not considering my fellow man by so doing. My reason for this decision was very simple. Most vaccines are trialed over a long period - this was not. My suspicion was roused and my instincts kicked in. I became very aware this was a human trial - well at least that gave the animals a break for a change.

Despite irritating phone calls from cheery young NHS canvassers, I politely stood my ground. Masks - another control and scam - and of course, the threat that if you don't have a jab, you can't go abroad. Not a problem. Family members continued the pressure and their advice was ignored. Despite reminding them that they couldn't trust their friendly G.P. who had probably prescribed Thalidomide, Viox (which killed God only knows how many people before it was withdrawn). Now the spotlight is deservedly on statins and their possible link with diabetes and dementia. Doctors continue to prescribe them, and our lovely seniors wander around in a fog of prescription drugs.

Not everyone understands gene therapy and the pages of documentation supporting brave men who have put their jobs on the line to raise the alarm, therefore keeping it simple is the best way. SAY NO.

No to the cowboys in health service management who have killed it.

No to government interference in your lives and the lives of your children.

No to a manipulated voting system.

No to supporting useless MPs who just love the gravy train.

You can trust the fact that sooner or later, the megalomaniacs will induce WW3 and shoot themselves in the foot - there will be no winners - only losers. But for those who either can't or won't see the truth, the endgame is written. Men make the mistake of thinking they are in control. They have only just learned how to walk. We can only hope enlightenment will dawn.

Meanwhile, I already support *The Light* paper and will encourage others to do so. The cabal will continue to mess around on the internet removing the truth speakers. but the GOOD OLD NEWSPAPER goes to press.

God bless all involved with the production. A little piece of sanity in a sick country.

shemaadonai81@

DEAR The Light paper,

The following paraphrases a Wiki entry:

'The United Nations War Crimes Commission (UNWCC), initially called the United Nations Commission for the Investigation of War Crimes, was a commission of the United Nations that investigated allegations of crimes committed by Nazi Germany and the other Axis powers in World War II.

The Commission, composed of nationals of all countries, affected with the remit to investigate war crimes. The Commission reported from time to time to an independent tribunal, naming and identifying the persons they believed responsible. The Commission directed its attention in particular towards organised atrocities.

The investigation reported war crimes of offenders irrespective of rank or position, and the aim was to collect material, supported wherever possible by depositions or by other documents, to establish such crimes, especially where they have been systematically perpetrated.'

I believe we need a new version of this right now. Reiner Fuellmich seems to have done a sterling job in pulling forward his witnesses, but the next step to The Hague may prove to be more difficult.

However, he has a huge pile of evidence and legal contacts across the world, and this might be the move that would help spur things along. Building a very public list of named suspects would have a supportive effect on the people who have been fighting so hard for so long, while creating a well-deserved level of anxiety among the perpetrators.

I would call it the "World Crimes Against Humanity Commission".

I'll be interested to hear what you think,

Best, Bruce

DEAR Editor,

I'm very concerned that England is going to add fluoride to its tap water. The chemical used is fluorosilicic acid - toxic waste from phosphate fertiliser - factory chimney scrubbers! It's more poisonous than lead and only slightly less so than arsenic. It's not pharmaceutical grade, unlike that in toothpaste. Fluoridation is wasteful and expensive, as less than 1% of tap water is drunk. Most water is used by industry and for washing, watering gardens, laundry etc. 99 per cent of money spent on fluoridation is literally money down the drain!

Fluoride can cause dental fluorosis - permanent mottling of children's teeth. The WHO said that no new fluoridation schemes should be begun until total fluoride intake has been measured, but this wasn't done. We receive fluoride from industrial pollution, pesticides, antidepressants and other medical drugs.

Many people's fluoride body levels are above WHO safety limits. In Lanarkshire, Scotland, tooth decay is greatly reduced by the "ChildSmile" scheme, where young children clean their teeth properly each day in school.

Medication should be prescribed individually, not given en-masse with no control over dosage received. It's unethical to fluoridate everyone regardless of need, health or choice. You cannot opt out when it's in tap water, so there is no informed consent.

It would be preferable to give free toothpaste to any child deemed to need it, than to wastefully fluoridate the whole water supply. We need to fight this before it's too late.

Yours,

A. Wills.

DEAR The Light,

The Pfizer report detailed over 1,200 DEATHS from 46,000 participants (death rate = around 1 in 40) plus over 1,200 adverse reactions - so that's between 1 in 20, and 1 in 40 deaths or serious injuries.

On Febraury 2021, 526 UK MPs approved this Pfizer jab (including Liz Truss and Rishi Sunak).

Around 27 million people in UK were jabbed with this toxic Pfizer jab.

The trial data predicted 675,000 to 1,350,000 deaths and serious adverse reactions (1 in 20 to 1 in 40).

Every MP that approved these toxic jabs knowing the Pfizer data is personally liable - and if they did not know, they breached their duty of care obligations.

There should be a mass resignation due to this. The UK public have not connected the dots yet - they will be furious when they do.

This is the link to the 10.02.2021 commons vote on covid - the 'ayes' are guilty... https://votes.parliament.uk/Votes/Commons/Division/972

Jeff Molyneux

DEAR The Light,

Reference the letter in your July issue written by Dr. A, a physician and scientist working for the NHS, I should like to add to Dr. Vernon Coleman's reply some comments of my own.

I find it very worrying that an NHS employee believes the service to be free. There are 46 million taxpayers in the UK, many of whom have recently sustained an increase in their national insurance contributions, partly to fund this wasteful institution. A Cochrane Institute study of influenza vaccines over a ten year period found they had no impact in reducing hospitalisations or deaths, and had an efficacy of 9 per cent, which is equivalent to zero in real terms.

I also find it very worrying that a medical scientist appears unaware that one of the leading causes of illness and death in the developed world is iatrogenic disease - medical error.

With the mass unjustified pharmaceutical interventions of the NHS since December of 2020, just imagine what the figures look like now!

Lastly, I am assuming the author of the letter is partially sighted, as I see the full names of the contributors to *The Light* Paper clearly printed at the beginning of their articles.

Serena Wylde

TO The Light paper:

Look oot! Look oot!
The Sturgeon witch will get ye
She's comin wi her needles
An she's gonny try inject ye !!
Look oot! look oot!

Look oot look oot!
The Sturgeon witch will jab ye
She's gonny try an poison ye
Wi phizer an moderni !!
Look oot ! Watch oot!

Paul Moore

This Light Paper is distributed by: